

**Buddhism at Work: An Analysis of the Impact of Buddhist
Concepts and Practices on Western Organisations**

By

Petrina Coventry

A thesis submitted to the graduate school in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Arts at the International Buddhist College, Thailand

March, 2015

Abstract

In recent years there has been a growing interest in the application of concepts and practices that derive from Buddhist thought within organisations in Western nations and this has resulted in an exchange of ideas between Buddhist and Western psychological and organisational scholars and practitioners. The “dialogue” that is now occurring between Buddhist and Western psychological and organisational thought is one means whereby Buddhist thought is being adapted to a new historical and cultural period. The main Buddhist concept and practice that has been applied in organisational settings is mindfulness but the way mindfulness has been understood in the psychological and organisational theory and practice literature diverges from traditional Buddhist accounts of mindfulness. Specifically, the way in which mindfulness has been characterized obscures its relationship to ethical functioning and its role in the development of wisdom and hence may not lead to the transformation that will result in liberation from suffering. Just as Buddhist concepts and practices have been adapted for use in secular and organisational settings, so too is there evidence that Buddhist thought is influencing mainstream psychological and organisational thought and practice. A review of the empirical evidence for the effects of various Buddhist practices and concepts on different aspects of organisational functioning indicates that short-term secularized mindfulness programs have beneficial effects on a wide range of mental health issues and well-being outcomes. However, in terms of overall and future contributions, it is concluded that as the account of mindfulness in the mainstream organisational literature is less than complete in comparison to traditional Buddhist accounts, the potential benefits of mindfulness as an intervention and as a theoretical account that can inform psychological and organisational theory and practice may be limited.

Acknowledgment

The successful completion of this independent study report would not have been possible without the kind guidance, assistance and criticism of Prof. Kapila Abhayawansa, Vice Rector for Academic Affairs of IBC and Bhikkhu Sajal Barua (Dipananda) (Teaching assistant for my Thesis) and Mr. Arkady (ELearning Administrator).

I am most grateful to The International Buddhist College which makes the eLearning possible for me to pursue the MA degree and at the same time take care of my career and family.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgment	ii
Content	iii
Notes on translations and academic style	v
Chapters	
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Aims of the present study	2
1.3 Method	3
1.4 Contributions of the Study	4
2. Buddhism in the West	6
2.1 The transmission of Buddhism to the West: Modernity, adaptation, and continuity	6
2.2 Buddhism and Western psychology	7
2.3 Western Buddhism	9
2.4 Buddhism at work	11
3. Buddhist concepts and practices in the workplace	13
3.1 Mindfulness	13
3.1.1 Traditional mindfulness	13
3.1.2 Mindfulness and the Insight Meditation movement	17
3.1.3 Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) and related interventions	19
3.2 Compassion and loving kindness	24
3.3 Foundational Buddhist concepts	26
3.4 Zen Buddhist practices	28
4. What is lost in translation?	29
4.1 The accuracy of Buddhist terms in the psychological and organisational literature	29
4.2 The absence of ethics in mindfulness training	32
4.3 The obscuration of Buddhism as psycho-spiritual system of Development	35
5. The influence of Buddhist thought on organisational theory and practice	39
5.1 Occupational well-being	39
5.2 Job performance, productivity, and organisational effectiveness	40
5.3 Leadership development and effectiveness	41
5.4 Individual and organisational change	42
5.5 Learning, development, and training	44
5.6 Organisational and business ethics	45
5.7 Organisational culture: collaboration, civility and pro-social Behaviour	45
5.8 Professional skills development	47

5.9 A critical summary: quality of evidence	47
6. Further contributions of Buddhist thought	50
6.1 Limitations the understanding of Buddhist thought in mainstream scholarly literature	50
6.2 The potential impact of a more inclusive Buddhist perspective	51
7. Summary and conclusions	57
Bibliography	60

Notes on translations and academic style

The present study follows academic referencing and style guide of the American Psychological Association (American Psychological Association, 2010, *Publication Manual of American Psychological Association*, 6th ed., Washington, DC.). In keeping with academic conventions in this area, diacritical marks have been omitted. Buddhist technical terms have been rendered in Pali, unless otherwise indicated.

Chapter1: Introduction

1.1. Overview

Over its 2500 year history Buddhism has shown an ability to adapt itself to changing times and different cultural contexts. In turn, Buddhism has influenced the societies within which it has taken root. All Buddhist traditions from many Asian countries are now represented in many Western countries such as North America, England and Australia.¹ Evidence indicates that new forms of Buddhism are emerging in these Western countries² and that Buddhist thought and practices are influencing many spheres of everyday life, including healthcare, education, and work.³

One area in which this influence is being exhibited in recent years is in the growing interest in the application of concepts and practices that derived from Buddhist thought to organisations in Western nations. Due mainly to the success of these intervention programs in the healthcare area, a number of organisational scholars and practitioners have argued that Buddhist concepts and practices may have benefits for various aspects of organisational functioning such as leadership development, management, occupational health, and productivity.⁴ This has resulted in an exchange of ideas between Buddhist and Western psychological⁵ and organisational scholars and practitioners.⁶ The application of principles and practices derived from Buddhist thought and the accompanying “dialogue” that is now

¹ Prebish, C.S. (2012). *Looking West: A primer for American Buddhism*. Amazon Kindle Edition; Wallace, B. A. (2002). *The spectrum of Buddhist practice in the West*. In C. S. Prebish & M. Baumann, M. (Eds.), *Westward dharma: Buddhism beyond Asia* (pp. 34-50). Berkeley: University of California Press.

² Coleman, J. (2001). *The new Buddhism: The western transformation of an ancient tradition*. New York: Oxford University Press; Seager, R. H. (2012). *Buddhism in America* (rev.) New York: Columbia University Press.

³ Storhoff, G., & Whalen-Bridge, J. (Eds.). (2010). *American Buddhism as a way of life*. Albany: State University of New York.

⁴ Low, A., & Purser, R. (2012). *Zen and the creative management of dilemmas*. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 9(4), 335–355; Marques, J. (2010). *Toward greater consciousness in the 21st century workplace: How Buddhist practices fit in*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 211–225; Marques, J. (2012a). *Consciousness at work: A review of some important values, discussed from a Buddhist perspective*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 105, 27–40; Schuyler, K.

⁵ In this study, the term “psychological” refers to the Western discipline of Psychology; when the term refers to Buddhist psychology or psychological systems, the term “Buddhist psychology” will be used.

⁶ Kwee, M. G. T. (Ed.). (2010). *New horizons in Buddhist psychology: Relational Buddhism for collaborative practitioners*. Chagrin Falls, Ohio: Taos Institute; Kwee, M. G. T., Gergen, K. J., & Koshikawa, F. (Eds.). (2006). *Horizons in Buddhist psychology: Practice, research & theory*. Chagrin Falls, Ohio: Taos Institute

occurring between Buddhist and Western psychological and organisational thought is one means whereby Buddhism is both being adapted by and adapting modern Western cultures.

1.2. Aims of the present study

The overall aim of the present study is to examine the interaction between Buddhism and Western culture through an examination of the scholarly literature at the interface of Buddhist and Western psychological and organisational thought and practices. It seeks to answer two main questions. The first question pertains to how Buddhist concepts and practices have been adapted or modified as they have been applied in organisational settings. The second question pertains to how organisational practices and theories have been influenced by Buddhist thought.

Accordingly, the first part of the present study examines the types and forms of Buddhist concepts and practices that are being applied within organisational settings. This takes the form of a review of the Buddhist practices and concepts that are the focus of discussion or evaluation in the scholarly organisational literature on the basis of an electronic search of major business, work and organisational databases (see below for details). As there have been concerns raised regarding the accuracy of Buddhist concepts and practices as they are represented in the psychological and organisational literature, the first part of the present study also examines the extent to which these practices and concepts have fidelity with traditional Buddhist accounts. Issues raised by Buddhist scholars regarding the potential for misapplication of Buddhist concepts and practices are also reviewed.

The second part of the present study examines the influence of Buddhist thought on Western organisational theory and practice. It takes the form of a review of the effects of various Buddhist practices and concepts on different aspects of organisational functioning and an examination of the empirical evidence for these effects. It then considers the overall contributions that Buddhist thought has made to psychological and organisational theory and practice in an effort to assess the extent to which these have been influenced by Buddhist thought.

1.3. Method

Western scholars have examined Buddhism through the lenses of particular academic disciplines, such as philosophy, linguistics, history, and religious studies. Each discipline

focuses on research questions relevant to their specific academic interests and brings to bear the particular research methods of their discipline. The scholarly literature that pertains to the application of Buddhist concepts and practices in Western organisations is largely occurring within the field of Western psychology and is couched in the terminology and research methods of this discipline.

Accordingly the present study has adopted the methods and conventions of academic psychology. It takes the form of a systematic review of the scholarly literature⁷ that is relevant to the application of Buddhist concepts and practices to organisations. The unit of analysis is the set of peer-reviewed published studies that have incorporated Buddhist concepts and practices into psychological/organisational theoretical frameworks and/or workplace interventions. Specifically, studies that assessed the effectiveness of a Buddhist intervention were collected from several sources. An electronic search of major business, work and organisational databases was conducted using the search terms “mindfulness,” “mindful,” “mindfulness-based”, “Buddhist”, “Buddhism”, and “Contemplative” in various combinations. The databases searched were Business Source Complete and Pro Quest ABI/Inform. In addition, reference lists of retrieved articles, meta-analyses and reviews were searched for additional publications. Papers identified by the electronic search were screened for inclusion through an examination of the abstract according to a number of criteria. Studies were included if they (i) evaluated the effects of a Buddhist concept or practice; (ii) included participants from working populations; (iii) were conducted in the workplace or with an occupational group; and (iv) used validated scales or measures of organisational outcomes. Studies were excluded if: they used student or trainee samples; addressed general meditation or failed to specify a specific form of Buddhist meditation or concept; or lacked a validated measure of organizational outcomes. The evidence for the effects of Buddhist concepts and practices on organisational functioning was then evaluated using criteria from Western science, relying on an assessment of methodological and theoretical quality.

Second, the present study has adopted a form of critical conceptual analysis that has recently emerged at the interface of Buddhist and Western psychological scholarship in which conceptualisations of Buddhist principles and practices in western psychological scholarly presentations are evaluated through recourse to Buddhist textual and authoritative

⁷. Cooper, H. (1998). *Synthesizing research: A guide for literature reviews*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

sources.⁸ As an example, Bodhi critically examined the characterisation of mindfulness appearing in the psychological and medical scholarly literature from a canonical perspective.⁹ The present study uses critical analysis to examine the extent to which Buddhist concepts and practices, as they are currently being applied in Western organisations, are consistent with an authentic Buddhist understanding.

1.4. Contributions of the Study

The present study is a contribution to the scholarly literature at the interface of Buddhist and Western psychological thought. In particular, it contributes to the “dialogue” that is emerging between Asian and European-American Buddhist scholars and practitioners and Western researchers, practitioners, and scholars at the interface of healthcare, psychology and organisation studies in several ways. First, despite considerable enthusiasm regarding the benefits of Buddhist concepts and practices to Western corporations expressed in popular forums,¹⁰ the evidence for these benefits remains unclear. The present study addresses the paucity of scholarly literature in this area through conducting a systematic and critical review of the scientific evidence for the benefits to organisations of Buddhist concepts and practices. Second, as Buddhist concepts and practices are becoming more mainstream in western organisational settings, a number of concerns have been raised regarding both the potential for their misunderstanding and misuse;¹¹ the present study contributes to the literature through evaluating the benefits of Buddhist concepts and practices, not only according to their ability to improve efficiencies in the workplace and to reduce stress, but according to Buddhist-relevant criteria such as the development of virtues conducive to awakening and remedying the causes of suffering at societal and institutional levels.¹² Finally, as the present study examines one means whereby Buddhism is being adapted in Western contexts, it also

⁸. Kang, C., &Whittingham, K. (2010). Mindfulness: A dialogue between Buddhism and clinical psychology. *Mindfulness*, 1, 161–173; Kuan, T. (2012). Cognitive operations in Buddhist meditation: Interface with Western psychology. *Contemporary Buddhism: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 13(1), 35-60; Rappay, L., & Bystrisky, A. (2009). Classical mindfulness: An introduction to its theory and practice for clinical application. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1172, 148-162.

⁹. Bodhi, B. (2011). What does mindfulness really mean? A canonical perspective. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 19-39.

¹⁰. Gelles, D. (2012). The mind business. Retrieved from <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/d9cb7940-ebea-11e1-985a-00144feab49a.html#axzz2MuOcUpfA>

¹¹. Forbes, D. (2012). Occupy mindfulness. Retrieved from <http://beamsandstruts.com/articles/item/982-occupy-mindfulness>; Purser, R.E., & Milillo, J. (2015). Mindfulness revisited: A Buddhist-based conceptualization. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 24(1), 3–24.

¹². Eaton, J. (2013). American Buddhism: Beyond the search for innerpeace-An interview with Ve. Bhikkhu Bodhi on Buddhism as aforce for social justice. Retrieved from http://www.religiondispatches.org/archive/atheologies/6784/american_buddhism_beyond_the_search_for_inner_peace/ (p. 2)

contributes to the scholarly literature in Western Buddhism, a relatively new field of academic study that has as its focus in the ways in which Buddhism is conceived and practiced in western countries.¹³ The present study also provides a resource for organisational decision-makers who may be considering applying Buddhist practices or Buddhist-derived practices in their organisations.

¹³. Prebish, C.S., & Baumann, M. (Eds.). (2002). *Westward dharma: Buddhism beyond Asia*. Berkeley: University of California Press; Halafoff, A., Fitzpatrick, R., Lam, K. (2012). Buddhism in Australia: An emerging field of study. *Journal of Global Buddhism*, 13, 9-25.

Chapter 2: Buddhism in the West

This chapter provides a brief historical and conceptual review of the development of Buddhism in the West, with a particular focus on the relationship between Buddhism and Western psychology. This provides a basis for examining how Buddhist concepts and practices have been understood, incorporated, and evaluated in Western organisational settings.

2.1. The transmission of Buddhism to the West: Modernity, adaptation, and continuity

Over the past two centuries, the interaction between Buddhism and the West has been shaped by a set of European and American values and assumptions that emerged in the eighteenth century Enlightenment.¹⁴ In essence, the European Enlightenment was an intellectual and cultural movement that rejected religious knowledge, particularly Christian knowledge, as culturally relative and adopted advanced rationalism and science as the basis for all true knowledge.¹⁵

The combination of Buddhist thought and western discourses—termed “Buddhist modernism”—has resulted in the emergence of novel forms of Buddhism that contrast with pre-modern forms in a variety of ways, including an emphasis on rationality and a de-emphasis on ritual and traditional cosmologies.¹⁶ Buddhist modernism began in the nineteenth century as Europeans who came into contact with Buddhism saw it as a highly rational philosophy—one that resonated with humanistic and scientific values and thereby offering a means for reconciling the tensions between religion and science that had emerged in the Western psyche. At the same time, Asian intellectuals influenced by rationalism and scientific thinking adopted this discourse as a form of resistance to European colonisation and attempts by Christian missionaries to convert Buddhists. One of the critical events in the transmission of Buddhism to the West occurred in the 1893 World Parliament of Religions when Anagarika Dharmapala characterized Buddhism as a religion uniquely compatible with modern science.¹⁷

¹⁴. Robinson, R. H., Johnson, W. L., & Bhikku, T. (2004). *Buddhist religions: A historical introduction* (5th ed.). Australia: Thomson-Wadsworth.

¹⁵. Robinson, Buddhist religions.

¹⁶. McMahan, D. (2008). *The making of Buddhist modernism*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

¹⁷. McMahan, D. (2004). Modernity and the early discourse of scientific Buddhism. *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, 72(4), 897 – 933.

This modernising element has continued to influence the interaction between Buddhism and the West. The current Dalai Lama has been instrumental in facilitating a dialogue between Buddhism and western scientific disciplines while at the same time challenging the idea that this must necessarily entail an uncritical adoption of materialistic philosophical assumptions.¹⁸

2.2. Buddhism and Western psychology

One of the most significant factors in the transmission, interpretation, and adaptation of Buddhism in the West lies in its interaction with the Western discipline of psychology.¹⁹ The influence of Buddhism on psychotherapy and psychology is a subject that is both complex and extensive, but for current purposes can be summarised in terms of phases of development.²⁰ In the early twentieth century the depth psychologists Sigmund Freud and Carl Jung both showed an interest in Buddhist thought and how it might relate to their respective systems of psychotherapy. During this phase, proponents of the (then) dominant school of psychotherapy, Freudian psycho-analysis, regarded the experiences Buddhists described with scepticism or as signs of psychopathology.²¹

A second major phase involved the transpersonal and humanistic psychologists of the mid-twentieth century who saw in Buddhism and other contemplative traditions a more encompassing vision of human nature and potential.²² During this phase a number of concepts, such as “being here and now”, entered the parlance of psychologists and were to have an influence on later developments.²³ Nonetheless, these schools of psychology remained relatively peripheral to mainstream psychology, and were rarely part of the curriculum in psychological education and training.

It is only in the most recent phase that Buddhism, through mindfulness, has influenced mainstream psychological theorising and practice. There are two streams of psychological/scientific research and practice that have contributed to the current growth of

¹⁸. Wallace, B.A. (2003). *Buddhism and science: Breaking new ground*. Columbia University Press.

¹⁹. Western psychology is defined as the scientific study of mind and behaviour (American Psychological Association). It emerged as a distinct discipline in the late nineteenth century by distancing itself from the discipline of philosophy and modelling itself on the methods of the physical sciences.

²⁰. Bankart, C. P. (2004). Five manifestations of the Buddha in the West. In K. H. Dockett & G. R. Dudley-Grant (Eds.), *Psychology and Buddhism: From individual to global community* (pp. 45–69). Springer.

²¹. Bankart, 45–69.

²². Dryden, W., & Still, A. (2006). Historical aspects of mindfulness and self-acceptance in psychotherapy. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 24(1), 3–28. doi:10.1007/s10942-006-0026-1

²³. Dryden, 3–28.

interest in Buddhist concepts and practices among psychological and organisational scholars and practitioners. The first of these was the development in the 1970s of a secular treatment program that was based on a form of mindfulness meditation, and called mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), for use in clinical and healthcare settings.²⁴ Subsequently, a range of similar interventions were developed for the treatment of wide range of physical and mental health conditions.²⁵ Collectively, those psychological treatment programs that have mindfulness as a key therapeutic ingredient are referred to as “mindfulness-based interventions” (MBIs).²⁶ Numerous studies have provided scientific evidence that these programs resulted in beneficial effects for patients and others in the relief pain, stress and distress and in the enhancement of positive functioning.²⁷

A second major stream of influence was the emerging evidence from neuroscientific studies that meditation practices could alter brain structure and function.²⁸ Two specific areas of neuroscientific research and theorising that have been especially important in promoting the importance of mindfulness are the fields of Interpersonal Neurobiology (IPNB),²⁹ an interdisciplinary field of science which focuses on how the brain and relationships interact to shape our experience, and affective neuroscience, which is the study of neural mechanisms of emotion.³⁰

What has emerged are several fields of scientific inquiry concerned with the potential applications of mindfulness to societal secular domains, including healthcare,³¹ education,³²

²⁴. Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain and illness*. New York: Delacourt.

²⁵. Eberth, J., & Sedlmeier, P. (2012). The effects of mindfulness meditation: A meta-analysis. *Mindfulness*, 3, 174–189. doi:10.1007/s12671-012-0101-x; Ireland, M. (2012). Meditation and psychological health and functioning. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice*, 9, 4-19.

²⁶. Germer, C. K., Siegel, R. D., & Fulton, P. R. (Eds.). (2005). *Mindfulness and psychotherapy*. New York: Guilford Press.

²⁷. Baer, R. A., & Lykins, E. L. B. (2011). Mindfulness and positive psychological functioning. In K. M. Sheldon, T. B. Kashdan, & M. F. Steger (Eds.), *Designing positive psychology: Taking stock and moving forward* (pp. 335-348). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

²⁸. Treadway, M. T., & Lazar, S. W. (2010). Meditation and neuroplasticity: Using mindfulness to change the brain. In R. A. Baer, (Ed.), *Assessing mindfulness & acceptance processes in clients: Illuminating the theory & practice of change* (pp. 185-205). Oakland, CA: New Harbinger.

²⁹. Siegel, D.J. (2012). *Pocket guide to interpersonal neurobiology: An integrative handbook of the mind*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.

³⁰. Davidson, R. J., & McEwen, B. S. (2012). Social influences on neuroplasticity: Stress and interventions to promote well-being. *Nature Neuroscience*, 15(5), 689–695.

³¹. Epstein, R. M. (1999). Mindful practice. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 282, 833-839.

³². Hyland, T. (2013). Moral education, mindfulness, and social engagement: Fostering social capital through therapeutic Buddhist practice. *SAGE Open*, 1–9.

and workplaces³³ in what has been called the “mindfulness revolution”³⁴ and a “flowering of mindfulness in the West in mainstream professional circles.”³⁵ One consequence of this level of interest has been the emergence of a “dialogue” that centres around the concept of mindfulness between, on the one hand, Buddhist scholars and practitioners, and on the other hand, cognitive-behavioural psychology and the healthcare disciplines. This “dialogue” largely takes the form of an exploration of the ways in which Buddhist psychology and philosophy may inform Western psychological models of wellbeing, optimal and positive functioning.³⁶ Pursuant to the growth of interest in mindfulness, psychological treatment programs that integrate other Buddhist principles and practices, including compassion, loving kindness, and “non-self” have been also been developed and evaluated.³⁷

2.3. Western Buddhism

The growth of interest in Buddhism among psychological and organisational scholars has occurred in the context of a concurrent growth of interest at the societal level. The term “Western Buddhism” refers to those forms of Buddhism that began to emerge in the late twentieth century in Western nations such as North America, Western Europe and Australia.³⁸ Much of the research and literature pertains to developments within North America; research in Western Buddhism in the Australian context is largely guided by developments in American scholarship.³⁹

In general Buddhism, as developed in North America, consists of two main types of communities—those born into the tradition of their immigrant parents and those who are

³³. Chaskalson, M. (2011). *The mindful workplace: Developing resilient individuals and resonant organisations with MBSR*. UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

³⁴. Boyce, B. (Ed.). (2011). *The mindfulness revolution*. Boston: Shambhala.

³⁵. Kabat-Zinn, J. (2010). Foreword. In D. McCown, D. Reibel, & M.S. Micozzi (Eds.), *Teaching mindfulness: A practical guide for clinicians and educators* (pp. ix–xxii). New York: Springer.

³⁶. Brown, D. (2009). Mastery of the mind East and West: Excellence in being and doing and everyday happiness. *Longevity, Regeneration, and Optimal Health: Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1172: 231–251. doi: 10.1196/annals.1393.018 C _ 2009; Walsh, R., & Shapiro, S. L. (2006). The meeting of meditative disciplines and western psychology: A mutually enriching dialogue. *American Psychologist*, 61(3), 227-239.

³⁷. Gilbert, P. (2009). Introducing compassion-focused therapy. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 15, 199–208. doi:10.1192/apt.bp.107.005264; Johnson, D. P., Penn, D. L., Fredrickson, B. L., Meyer, P. S., Kring, A. M., & Brantley, M. (2009). Loving kindness to enhance recovery from negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 65, 499–509; Shonin, E., Van Gordon, W., Dunn, T., Singh, N., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014b). Meditation awareness training for work-related wellbeing and job performance: A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-014-9513-2.

³⁸. Prebish, looking West; Prebish, Westward dharma.

³⁹. Halafoff, 9-25.

converts to Buddhism and are usually of European descent.⁴⁰ Asian immigrant Buddhists tend to maintain the forms and practices, such as ritual and ceremonial practices, of the tradition of their community. For many Asian immigrant Buddhist communities, Buddhism serves an important social function and provides an important means of maintaining ethnic identity. Convert Buddhists, on the other hand, tend to minimize the use of traditional forms and are drawn to the Buddhist traditions that emphasise meditation such as Zen and Vipassana.⁴¹

The character of Buddhism, as it has arisen in the West, differs from traditional forms in several ways that are more compatible with contemporary Western cultural values. Studies have identified a number of characteristics that differentiate forms of Buddhism developing in the North America and Europe from traditional forms of Asian Buddhism. North American Buddhism is: (i) democratic rather than authoritarian and hierarchical, (ii) plural in its traditions and lineages; (iii) meditation-focused; (iv) lay-oriented rather than monastically oriented, (v) gender-balanced; (vi) secularized, (vii) eclectic in its practices, and (viii) politically and socially engaged.⁴²

One of the key features of Western forms of Buddhism is the degree of exchange that occurs between different Buddhist traditions. In North America all the Buddhist traditions from all Asian countries are present at the same time.⁴³ This has resulted in a degree of exchange of ideas between different Buddhist traditions and non-Buddhist traditions that may not have occurred previously in its history.

2.4. Buddhism at work

In recent years a range of practices and concepts that have their origins in Buddhist thought have made their way into Western organisational settings.⁴⁴ In particular, mindfulness

⁴⁰. Prebish, looking West.

⁴¹. Prebish, looking West.

⁴². Barker, M., & Rocha, C. (2011). *Introduction*. In C. Rocha & M. Barker (Eds.), *Buddhism in Australia: Traditions in change* (pp. 1–19). New York: Routledge; Smith, H., & Novak, P. (2003). *Buddhism: A concise introduction*. New York: HarperCollins.

⁴³. Wallace, spectrum of Buddhist practice, 34-50.

⁴⁴. Carroll, M. (2004). *Awake at work: 35 practical Buddhist principles for discovering clarity and balance in the midst of work's chaos*. Boston: Shambhala; Gyatso, T., & van den Muyzenberg, L. (2008). *The leader's way*. Sydney, Australia: Nicholas Brealey Publishing Ltd.; Spears, N. (2007). *Buddha, 9 to 5: The eightfold path to enlightening your workplace and improving your bottom line*. Avon, MA: Adams Media; Whitmyer, C. (Ed.). (1994). *Mindfulness and meaningful work: Explorations in right livelihood*. Berkeley, California: Parallax Press.

as a concept and a practice has been a topic of growing interest to organisational scholars⁴⁵ and a range of programs based on mindfulness practices have been incorporated into mainstream organisational training for leaders and managerial personnel.⁴⁶ In addition, other Buddhist concepts and practices that have been discussed in relation to organisational functioning include *lojong*, *zen koans*, the Four Noble Truths, and impermanence.⁴⁷

The interest in applying mindfulness and other Buddhist concepts and practices in organisational settings is likely to have been influenced by a range of factors such as: (i) the growing scientific evidence for the effectiveness of these interventions in healthcare, (ii) the growing interest in neuroscientific research showing the effects of Buddhist meditation on brain structure and function; (iii) the rapid dissemination of scientific research findings through the internet; (iv) the growing interest in Buddhism as a religion or spiritual discipline in western countries; (v) the growing interest in workplace spirituality more broadly; (vi) complementarities between therapeutic psychology and Buddhist psychology and science more generally; (vii) the secularisation of Buddhist concepts and practices; (viii) particularly visible advocates of these approaches, including prominent organisational scholars and CEO's; (ix) increased availability of secular and traditional training; and (x) increased availability of traditional textual resources and popular literature.⁴⁸

A wide range of claims have been made regarding the benefits of Buddhist concepts and practices for organisations. For example, it has been argued that Buddhist concepts and practices have a beneficial impact upon various aspects of organisational functioning such as leadership development, collaboration in the workplace, occupational wellbeing, business ethics, and organizational effectiveness.⁴⁹ There is also a growing literature aimed

⁴⁵. Dane, E. (2011). Paying attention to mindfulness and its effects on task performance in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 997-1018; Weick, K.E., & Putnam, T. (2006). Organizing for mindfulness Eastern wisdom and Western knowledge. *Journal of management inquiry*, 15(3), 275–287.

⁴⁶. Carroll, M. (2007). *The mindful leader: Ten principles for bringing out the best in ourselves and others*. New York: Trumpeter; Marques, J. (2007). *The awakened leader: One simple leadership style that works every time, everywhere*. Fawnskin, CA: Personhood Press; Nakai, P., & Schultz, R. (2000). *The mindful corporation: Liberating the human spirit at work*. Long Beach, California: Leadership Press.

⁴⁷. Atkinson, L., & Duncan, J. L. (2013). Eight Buddhist methods for leaders. *Journal of Organizational Learning and Leadership*, 11(2), 8–18; Low, Zen and the creative management of dilemmas, 335–355; Schuyler, Increasing leadership integrity, 21–38.

⁴⁸. Cahn, B. R., Delorme, A., & Polich, J. (2010). Occipital gamma activation during Vipassanā meditation. *Cognitive Processing*, 11, 39–56. doi:10.1007/s10339-009-0352-1; Seager, *Buddhism in America*; Wilson, J. (2014). *Mindful America: The mutual transformation of Buddhist meditation and American culture*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

⁴⁹. Dhiman, S. (2009). Mindfulness in life and leadership: An exploratory survey. *Interbeing*, 3(1), 55-80; Flaxman, P.E., Bond, F. W., Livheim, F. (2013). *The mindful and effective employee: An acceptance and commitment therapy training manual for improving well-being and performance*. New Harbinger Publications.

predominantly at practitioners promoting the benefits of mindfulness for aspects of organisational functioning, including leadership development, performance enhancement, learning, team-working, and coaching.⁵⁰ The empirical evidence for many of these claims is, however, unclear.

⁵⁰. Blonna, R. (2010). *Maximize your coaching effectiveness with acceptance & commitment therapy*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger; Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2006). Inspiring others through resonant leadership. *Business Strategy Review, Summer*, 15-18; Goleman, D. (2011). *The brain and emotional intelligence: New insights*. Northampton, MA: More than Sound LLC; Silsbee, D. (2010). *The mindful coach: Seven roles for facilitating leadership development*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Chapter 3: Buddhist concepts and practices in the workplace

In this chapter the Buddhist concepts and practices and their derivatives that have been addressed in scholarly organisational literature are reviewed in comparison to how they are understood in traditional Buddhist accounts. This chapter begins with a review of mindfulness, and then examines other Buddhist concepts and practices that have been addressed in the organisational literature.

3.1. Mindfulness

3.1.1. Traditional mindfulness

Mindfulness (*sati*) has been described as the “heart of Buddhist meditation”⁵¹ and the practice of mindfulness was purportedly called by the Buddha “the direct path to enlightenment.”⁵² Throughout Buddhist history, mindfulness has been viewed in different and even conflicting ways.⁵³ For example, Wallace describes how the precise meaning of right mindfulness varies across the three main Buddhist traditions depending on how right view and right intention are understood.⁵⁴ In Theravāda Buddhism, right view refers to the understanding of suffering, impermanence, and non-self; right intention is the motivation to seek full liberation from the afflictions that cause suffering, based on the recognition of suffering and its causes. In Mahāyāna Buddhism, it refers to emptiness, dependent origination, and Buddha-nature; right intention is the motivation to achieve enlightenment for the sake of all sentient beings. In the Vajrayāna tradition, right view refers to the perception of all phenomena as the expression of primordial consciousness; right intention is the motivation to seek enlightenment as quickly as possible for the sake of all sentient beings. For the purposes of the present study, classical Theravāda sources have mainly been used to provide an account of how mindfulness is understood in traditional Buddhist accounts.⁵⁵

Sati is generally understood to be a particular faculty⁵⁶ that is capable of being strengthened or developed, through specific meditative practices, most notably expounded in

⁵¹. Nyanaponika, T. (1962). *The heart of Buddhist meditation*. London: Rider and Co.

⁵². Analayo (2003). *Satipaṭṭhāna: The direct path to realization*. Birmingham: Windhorse; Analayo. (2013). *Perspectives on Satipaṭṭhāna*. Cambridge, UK: Windhorse Publications.

⁵³. Gethin, R. (2011). On some definitions of *mindfulness*. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12, 263–279; Kang, 161–173.

⁵⁴. Wallace, B. A. (2008). A mindful balance. *Tricycle*, 17(7), 60–63, 109–111.

⁵⁵. Wallace, mindful balance, 60–63, 109–111.

⁵⁶. Analayo, perspectives on *Satipaṭṭhāna*; Bodhi, B. (2000). *The Connected Discourses of the Buddha: A new translation of the Samyutta Nikāya*. Boston: Wisdom Publications.

the *Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta* and the *Ānāpānasati Sutta*, in which breath awareness is held to constitute a complete system of liberation from affliction to liberation.⁵⁷ There are two main meanings of the term “*sati*” in the early textual sources: “remembrance” and “awareness of the present moment.”⁵⁸ These two meanings are reflected in the terms used in the *Abhidhamma* literature to illustrate the meaning of *sati*: “recollection” or “calling to mind” (*anussati*), “recall” (*paṭissati*), “remembrance” (*saraṇatā*), “keeping in mind” (*dhāraṇatā*), “absence of floating” (*apilāpanatā*), and “absence of forgetfulness” (*asammussanatā*).⁵⁹

However, on the basis of his translation of the *AbhidhammathaSaṅgaha* and other canonical texts, Bodhi (2000, 2011) argues that while the word *sati* primarily denotes “recollection”, it is in actuality a mental factor that is best described as “lucid awareness” and signifies the capacity for attending to the content of experience as it arises in the immediate present.⁶⁰ The *AbhidhammathaSaṅgaha* describes mindfulness in terms of “presence of mind”, and “attentiveness to the present”; with the characteristic of “not wobbling” (i.e., not floating away from the object); the function of “absence of confusion” (or non-forgetfulness); the manifestation as “guardianship”, (i.e., a state of confronting an objective field); and the proximate cause as a strong perception of the four establishments of mindfulness (i.e., body, feeling, consciousness, mental objects).⁶¹

Similarly, in the commentarial literature, Buddhaghosa writes that mindfulness’s characteristic is “...not wobbling ... [whose] function is not to forget ... [and] is manifested as guarding ... like a pillar because it is firmly founded, or as like a doorkeeper because it guards the eye door and so on.”⁶²

Other scholars have identified a variety of meanings for the term *sati* as it is used in early textual sources. Kuan has identified four distinct but interrelated dimensions of mindfulness through an analysis of the *Pali Nikāyas*.⁶³ The first of these is “simple attention”, which involves the application on a moment-by-moment basis of bare attention, free of verbal and conceptual elaboration of the observed facts of experience. The second dimension is

⁵⁷. Analayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna*: the direct path.

⁵⁸. Analayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna*: the direct path; Gethin, definitions of mindfulness, 263–279.

⁵⁹. Gethin, definitions of mindfulness, 263–279.

⁶⁰. Bodhi, Connected Discourses; Bodhi, What does mindfulness really mean, 19-39.

⁶¹. Bodhi, B. (Ed.). (1999). *A comprehensive manual of Abhidhamma: The Abhidhammatha Saṅgaha of Ācariya Anuruddha*. Seattle: BPS Pariyatti Editions.

⁶². Buddhaghosa, B. (1976). *The path of purification (Visuddhimagga)*, volume two. Berkeley: Shambhala Publications, 524.

⁶³. Kuan, T. (2008). *Mindfulness in early Buddhism: New approaches through psychology and textual analysis of Pali, Chinese, and Sanskrit sources*. London: Routledge.

called “protective awareness”, which involves not only the registration of the bare facts of sensory experience, but also includes discernment regarding whether a mental state is conducive to *dukkha* or *sukkhā*. The third dimension, “introspective awareness”, involves the dislodgement of harmful states and their replacement with beneficial wholesome states (i.e., wisely directed attention). The final dimension identified is termed “deliberately forming conceptions” and involves the function of directing attention towards beneficial wholesome thoughts and images.

A further understanding of the nature and meaning of mindfulness can be gained by considering its function and position among the *Pali Abhidhamma*’s categories.⁶⁴ According to the *Abhidhamma*, mind or consciousness (*citta*) continuously arises and passes away in each moment, and consists of a series of changing momentary mind-states depending on which of a number of mental qualities or factors (*cetasika*) are present in that moment.⁶⁵ These mental qualities are categorised in several ways in the *Abhidhamma*,⁶⁶ but for current purposes some are wholesome/skilful (*kusala*) and others are unwholesome/unskilful (*akusala*). *Sati* is listed as a wholesome mental factor or quality that always co-arises with other wholesome mental factors; moreover, as wholesome and unwholesome mental factors cannot arise in the same mind moment, the presence of unwholesome qualities such as greed, hatred and delusion precludes the presence of mindfulness in that particular moment of mind.⁶⁷

As a path factor, right mindfulness (*sammā sati*) is the seventh element of the noble eightfold path leading to *nibbana*.⁶⁸ As a path factor, right mindfulness is the same as the four foundations of mindfulness, and in this context, implies right or correct mindfulness (Bodhi, 1998). Right mindfulness can be differentiated from general mindfulness in that it “requires the support of being diligent (*ātāpi*) and of clearly knowing (*sampajāna*) ... [and it is] ... this combination of mental qualities, supported by a state of mind free from desires and

⁶⁴. Gilpin, R. (2008). The use of Theravada Buddhist practices and perspectives in mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 9(2), 227-251; Olendzki, A. (2010). *Unlimiting mind: The radically experiential psychology of Buddhism*. Boston: Wisdom Publications.

⁶⁵. Harvey, P. (2012). Theravada Abhidhamma as a guide to mindful exploration of mental qualities. *Middle Way*, 87(2), 123-130.

⁶⁶. The *Abhidhamma* divides the fifty-two *cetasikas* into four classes: universals, which are necessary for any kind of mental state (i.e., accompany every type of *citta*); particulars, which are occasionally present; unwholesome or unskilful factors (*akusala*), and beautiful, wholesome, or skilful factors (*kusala*) (Harvey, 2012).

⁶⁷. Olendzki, unlimiting mind.

⁶⁸. The *Abhidhamma* lists eight path factors: (i) right view, (ii) right thought or intention, (iii) right speech, (iv) right action, (v) right livelihood, (vi) right effort (vii) right mindfulness, and (viii) right concentration (Bodhi, 1999; Tin Mon, 2002).

discontent ... which becomes the path factor of right mindfulness”.⁶⁹ The eightfold path begins with training in morality (right speech, right action and right livelihood), followed by mental training (right effort, right mindfulness and right concentration).⁷⁰ *Sammā sati* operates in concert with the other path factors; for example, with respect to right effort, mindfulness functions to prevent the arising of unwholesome states of mind and the cultivation of wholesome states of mind.⁷¹

The key text on the cultivation of sati is the *Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta*, the Discourse on the Foundations of Mindfulness,⁷² in which the practitioner is instructed to direct mindfulness to one of four phenomenal fields: (i) the foundation of mindfulness in contemplation of (or with regard to) the body (*kāyānupassanā*); (ii) the foundation of mindfulness in contemplation of feelings (*vedanānupassanā*); (iii) the foundation of mindfulness in contemplation of consciousness/mind (*cittānupassanā*); and (iv) the foundation of mindfulness in contemplation of mental objects (*dhammānupassanā*).⁷³ The aim of practice is the extinction of suffering and the attainment of *nibbana* or, expressed in another way, the realization of the three universal characteristics of impermanence, suffering, and non-self. Initially, *sati* is the means by which the mind is prevented from being distracted by sense-objects, enabling it to be settled firmly on the chosen object of meditation;⁷⁴ it then functions to present objects vividly—a type of “watchfulness” of each event that presents itself in each successive moment.⁷⁵ As *sati* becomes strengthened through practice, *sampajañña* translated as “clear comprehension”⁷⁶ or “introspection”,⁷⁷ assumes a more active role. *Sampajañña* can be seen to have evaluative or discerning function, enabling the comprehension of phenomena that have arisen, and it eventually develops into direct insight (*vipassana*) and wisdom (*paññā*).⁷⁸

Mindfulness is also a key aspect of the two main types of Buddhist meditation—*samatha* and *vipassanā*. The first of these, *samatha*, involves the cultivation of mental stillness/calmness and has the goal of developing *samādhi*, a highly focused and refined

⁶⁹. Analayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna: the direct path*, 51.

⁷⁰. Tin Mon, M. (2002). *Buddha Abhidhamma: Ultimate science*. Buddha Dharma Education Association Inc.

⁷¹. Analayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna: the direct path*.

⁷². Soma Thera. (1998). *The way of mindfulness: The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta and its commentary*. Sri Lanka, Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society; Wallace, B. A. (2011). *Minding closely: The four applications of mindfulness*. Ithaca, New York: Snow Lion Publications.

⁷³. Bodhi, manual of *Abhidhamma*.

⁷⁴. Tin Mon, *Buddha Abhidhamma*.

⁷⁵. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean?

⁷⁶. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean?

⁷⁷. Wallace, a mindful balance.

⁷⁸. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean?

concentration.⁷⁹The second type of meditation is *vipassanā*. The etymology of the term suggests “seeing in depth” and can be translated as “insight” or “penetrative seeing”.⁸⁰ The aim of *vipassanā* meditation is the development of wisdom (*paññā*), whereby the practitioner gains direct understanding of the nature of things, namely, that all things are impermanent (*anicca*), unsatisfactory (*dukkha*), and that they are nonself (*anatta*).⁸¹ According to Wallace, *samātha* develops the faculty of mindfulness, which is then *applied* through the practice of *vipassanā* to an experiential investigation of reality.⁸²

3.1.2. Mindfulness and the Insight Meditation movement

The form of *vipassanā* that has become popular in the West and that most strongly influenced its subsequent development within psychology and organisational practices has its origins in Burmese reform movements in the earlier twentieth century.⁸³ A key figure in the development of this form *vipassanā* was Mahasi Sayadaw⁸⁴ (1904–1982). This version of meditation differed from traditional Theravada versions in that it: (i) was designed for laypersons, (ii) de-emphasized *samātha* practice, (iii) did not require familiarity with *abhidhamma* literature, and (iv) characterised *sati* in terms of a “moment-to-moment non-judgemental awareness”.⁸⁵This version of *vipassanā* has subsequently become influential in South-East Asia, Japan, and in the West.

Within this approach, the *Sattipaṭṭhāna Sutta* was identified strictly with *vipassanā*.⁸⁶ Buddhist scholars have pointed out that it is problematic to identify mindfulness solely with the practice of *vipassanā*.⁸⁷ As we have seen, Kuan’s analysis of canonical sources demonstrated that mindfulness is essential to *samātha* meditation, rather than being related

⁷⁹. Wallace, B. A. (2006). *The attention revolution: Unlocking the power of the focused mind*. Somerville, MA: Wisdom Publications.

⁸⁰. Nyanatiloka, T. (1988). *Buddhist Dictionary: Manual of Buddhist terms and doctrines* (4th ed., rev.). Kandy, Sri Lanka: Buddhist Publications Society;

⁸¹. Gethin, R. (1998). *The foundations of Buddhism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; Nyanaponika, heart of Buddhist meditation.

⁸². Wallace, minding closely.

⁸³. Sharf, R. H. (2014). Is mindfulness Buddhist? (and why it matters). *Transcultural Psychiatry*.doi: 10.1177/1363461514557561

⁸⁴. Other modern versions of *vipassana* are those developed by S. N. Goenka (1924), which focuses on observation of feelings, and Pa Auk Sayadaw (1934), which focuses on mindfulness of the four elements (Analayo, 2012).

⁸⁵. Analayo (2012).The dynamics of Theravāda insight meditation. In Kuo-pin Chuang (Ed.), *Buddhist meditation traditions: An international symposium* (pp. 23–56). Taiwan: Dharma Drum Publishing Corporation; Sharf, is mindfulness Buddhist?

⁸⁶. SeeGethin(2011) for a brief description.

⁸⁷. Wallace, B. A. (2005). *Genuine happiness: Meditation as the path to fulfillment*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

solely to *vipassanā* meditation.⁸⁸ Kuan further argues that early textual sources indicate that it is the combined use of *samātha* and *vipassanā*, monitored by mindfulness that is conducive to attainment of *nibbana*.⁸⁹

It was this form of modernized meditation that was encountered by Americans, including Jack Kornfield and Joseph Goldstein, who studied it in South-East Asia and brought it home to teach others. Within North America and Europe, a number of practitioners further developed this version of *vipassanā* along secular lines, and others, influenced by exposure to a range of non-Theravāda meditation traditions, began to combine meditative methods from different lineages and traditions. In addition, after the 1970s, the term “mindfulness” began to be applied to a wide variety of meditative practices from different Buddhist traditions, including Japanese and Korean “sitting meditation” and meditative forms that have their origins in Tibetan Buddhism.⁹⁰

3.1.3. Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) and related interventions

Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) are a family of psychological intervention programs that include mindfulness meditation as a key therapeutic ingredient.⁹¹ The first of these to be developed was mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), and many variants of MBSR have subsequently been developed for a wide range of clinical and medical presentations and settings including the workplace.⁹² While these programs share focus on mindfulness, they also vary in a number of ways, including how mindfulness is conceptualised, length of treatment, types of meditation practices incorporated, and relative amounts of Buddhist-derived and psychological content.⁹³

(i) Mindfulness-based stress reduction interventions (MBSR).

⁸⁸. Kuan, mindfulness in early Buddhism.

⁸⁹. Kuan, cognitive operations.

⁹⁰. Lopez, D. S., Jr. (2012). *The scientific Buddha: His short and happy life*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

⁹¹. Germer, C. K., Siegel, R. D., & Fulton, P. R. (Eds.).(2005). *Mindfulness and psychotherapy*. New York: Guilford Press; Shapiro, S. L., & Carlson, L. E. (2009). *The art and science of mindfulness: Integrating mindfulness into psychology and the helping professions*. Washington, DC: The American Psychological Association.

⁹². Baer, R. A., & Krietemeyer, J. (2006). Overview of mindfulness- and acceptance-based treatment approaches. In R. A. Baer (Ed.), *Mindfulness-based treatment approaches: Clinician's guide to evidence base and applications* (pp. 3-27). London: Academic Press; Didonna, F. (Ed.). (2009). *Clinical handbook of mindfulness*. New York: Springer.

⁹³. Chiesa, A., & Malinowski, P. (2011). Mindfulness-based approaches: Are they all the same? *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(4), 404-424; de Vibe, M., Bjørndal, A., Tipton, E., Hammerstrøm, K. T., & Kowalski, K. (2012). Mindfulness based stress reduction (MBSR) for improving health, quality of life, and social functioning in adults. *The Campbell Collaboration*, 3. doi:10.4073/csr.2012.3.

MBSR is a group-based psychological treatment program originally developed in a medical setting for patients with stress-related and chronic pain conditions. The standard form of MBSR consists of an eight-week group program for up to thirty-five participants, with weekly sessions of three hours, a six-hour silent retreat later in the program, and daily at-home meditation practice. The program includes formal mindfulness meditation practices such as mindfulness of the breath and of physical sensations of the body, mindful walking, hatha yoga and meditation on loving-kindness or compassion; it also includes sessions on specific topics such as stress reactivity, communication skills, and self-acceptance.⁹⁴

The developer of MBSR, Jon Kabat-Zinn,⁹⁵ defined mindfulness as “the awareness that emerges through paying attention on purpose, in the present moment, and nonjudgmentally to the unfolding of experience moment by moment”. Kabat-Zinn drew mainly on Theravada teachings in the development of MBSR, but also drew upon other Buddhist and even non-Buddhist traditions; MBSR is thus considered by its developers a “universal expression” of the Dharma.⁹⁶

MBSR was originally introduced into workplace settings as a stress management program; more recently several variants have been developed specifically for organisational and occupational settings. Intervention studies have been conducted with a range of occupational groups, including nurses, human service professionals, employees of a biotechnology firm, university employees, and service centred employees.⁹⁷

(ii) New-generation mindfulness programs

⁹⁴. Kabat-Zinn, full catastrophe living.

⁹⁵. Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10, 145.

⁹⁶. Kabat-Zinn, foreword, x; Kabat-Zinn, J. (2011). Some reflections on the origins of MBSR, skilful means, and the trouble with maps. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12, 281-306.

⁹⁷. Cohen-Katz, J., Wiley, S. D., Capuano, T., Baker, D. M., & Shapiro, S. (2005). The effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on nurse stress and burnout, part II: A quantitative and qualitative study. *Holistic Nursing Practice*, 19, 26-35; Klatt, M. D., Buckworth, J., & Malarkey, W. B. (2009). Effects of a low-dose mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR-ld) on working adults. *Health Education & Behavior*, 36(3), 601-614; Mackenzie, C. S., Poulin, P. A., & Seidman-Carlson, R. (2006). A brief mindfulness-based stress reduction intervention for nurses and nurse aides. *Applied Nursing Research*, 19, 105-109; Poulin, P. A., Mackenzie, C. S., Soloway, G., & Karayolas, E. (2008). Mindfulness training as an evidence-based approach to reducing stress and promoting well-being among human service professionals. *International Journal of Health Promotion & Education*, 46, 35-43; Davidson, R. J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S. F., et al. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65, 564-570; Walach, H., Nord, E., Zier, C., Dietz-Waschkowski, B., Kersig, S., & Schüpbach, H. (2007). Mindfulness-based stress reduction as a method for personnel development: A pilot evaluation. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 14, 188-198.

More recently, in response to criticisms of MBIs (see next chapter) a number of psychological intervention programs have been developed which, although secular, aim to redress perceived limitations of existing mindfulness programs by incorporating ethical components or that teach mindfulness meditation in a more traditional format. For example, Monteiro and colleagues⁹⁸ developed an ethics-based MBI based on the “five mindfulness trainings” formulated by Thich Nhat Hanh:⁹⁹ respect for mortality, generosity, respecting limits, skilful/compassionate speech, and skilful consumption.

Meditation Awareness Training (MAT) is an 8-week group-based secular intervention, developed to include a stronger grounding in Buddhist principles than the standard program. This program incorporates mindfulness along with traditional practices including techniques aimed at cultivating ethical and compassionate awareness, generosity, patience, and meditative insight into emptiness and impermanence.¹⁰⁰ Within this approach mindfulness is defined as “the process of engaging a full, direct, and active awareness of experienced phenomena that is spiritual in aspect and that is maintained from one moment to the next”.¹⁰¹

Paul Ekman and B. A. Wallace¹⁰² developed a secular version of Buddhist practice that integrated secular meditation practices with techniques derived from the scientific study of emotion. The 8-week program consisted of meditation (concentration, mindfulness, and compassion) and emotion-regulation skills. The program was initially trialled with 82 female North American school teachers.

(iii) **Acceptance and commitment therapy**

Mindfulness is a feature of several other psychological therapeutic approaches that although they are not explicitly based in Buddhist thought are a significant part of the discourse around the use of mindfulness in workplace settings. While MBIs are *based* on formal mindfulness practices, other approaches that *incorporate* mindfulness-related concepts

⁹⁸. Monteiro, L. M., Nuttall, S., & Musten, R. F. (2010). Five skillful habits: An ethics-based mindfulness intervention. *Counselling and Spirituality*, 29(1), 91–103.

⁹⁹. Hahn, T. N. (2007). *For a future to be possible: Buddhist ethics for everyday life*. Berkeley: Parallax Press.

¹⁰⁰. Van Gordon, W., Shonin, E., Sumich, A., Sundin, E., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014). Meditation awareness training (MAT) for psychological wellbeing in a sub-clinical sample of university students: A controlled pilot study. *Mindfulness*, 5, 381–391.

¹⁰¹. Shonin, meditation awareness training, 15.

¹⁰². Kemenyi, M. E., Foltz, C., Cavanagh, J. F., Cullen, M., Giese-Davis, J., Jennings, P., et al. (2012). Contemplative/emotion training reduces negative emotional behaviour and promotes prosocial responses. *Emotion*, 12(2), 338–350.

and processes but do not teach formal mindfulness meditation have also been developed: the foremost of these is acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT).¹⁰³ ACT was originally developed as an individual-level therapeutic approach for psychological difficulties but subsequently a number of group-format programs have also been developed for organisational settings.¹⁰⁴

In the ACT therapeutic model mindfulness is equated with four interrelated therapeutic change processes¹⁰⁵—acceptance, diffusion, the present moment, and a transcendent sense of self.¹⁰⁶ ACT does not use formal mindfulness meditation practices, but rather utilises metaphors, experiential exercises, and other techniques in order to promote mindfulness.¹⁰⁷ The originators of this approach deny a direct influence of Buddhist teachings on the development of the treatment approach, but acknowledge the similarity between its own therapeutic processes and Buddhist ideas.¹⁰⁸ In particular, according to the authors, ACT shares with Buddhism an emphasis on the ubiquity of human suffering (the First Noble Truth) and on the role of values-based action—actions that lead the practitioner to freedom (the Fourth Noble Truth)—although how this is understood also differs from a Buddhist understanding of liberation. In addition, Fung¹⁰⁹ notes that “suffering” referred to in the ACT approach most often refers to psychological therapeutic outcomes and a meaningful life, whereas in Buddhism it refers to samsaric existence; moreover, ACT focuses on personal

¹⁰³. Hayes, S.C, Strosahl, K.D., & Wilson, K.G. (2012). *Acceptance and commitment therapy: The process and practice of mindful change* (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.

¹⁰⁴. Bond, F. W., & Bunce, D. (2000). Mediators of change in emotion-focused and problem-focused worksite stress management interventions. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(1), 156-163; Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010a). Acceptance and commitment training: Promoting psychological flexibility in the workplace. In R. A. Baer, (Ed.), *Assessing mindfulness & acceptance processes in clients: Illuminating the theory & practice of change* (pp. 281-306). Oakland, CA: New Harbinger.

¹⁰⁵. ACT consists of six core therapeutic processes: (i) present moment, (ii) self-as-context, (iii) acceptance, (iv) diffusion, (v) values, and (vi) committed action (Hayes et al., 2012). Present moment refers to a stance of openness and non-judgmental awareness of psychological events in the present moment. Self-as-context refers to releasing attachment to a conceptualized self and seeing the sense of self in terms of the *context* in which psychological events occur. Acceptance is the willingness to have and experience unwanted internal content. Diffusion is the process of changing the *function* of internal events as opposed to changing their *content*; in practice, it involves seeing thoughts for what they are—merely thoughts—rather than taking them literally. Values refer to the experiential contact with personal values that matter to the individual in various life domains, such as health, family, work, friendship, community, and spirituality; values are directions that an individual chooses to guide their behaviour rather than an endpoint in itself.

¹⁰⁶. Fletcher, L., & Hayes, S. C. (2005). Relational Frame Theory, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, and a functional analytic definition of mindfulness. *Journal of Rational-Emotive and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy*, 23(4), 315-336.

¹⁰⁷. Hayes, S. C., Bissett, R., Roget, N., Padilla, M., Kohlenberg, B. S., Fisher, G., et al. (2004). The impact of acceptance and commitment training and multicultural training on the stigmatizing attitudes and professional burnout of substance abuse counselors. *Behavior Therapy*, 35, 821-835.

¹⁰⁸. Fletcher, Relational Frame Theory, 315-336.

¹⁰⁹. Fung, K. (2014). Acceptance and commitment therapy: Western adoption of Buddhist tenets? *Transcultural Psychiatry*. doi: 10.1177/1363461514537544

values which are assumed to be “good”, whereas in Buddhism values are universals such as compassion. Others have noted the similarity between ACT and Morita Therapy—and hence Japanese Zen Buddhism.¹¹⁰

In organisational settings, the standard ACT intervention takes the form of a group-based structured intervention, frequently called Acceptance and Commitment *Training* in this context, and consisting of three training sessions each lasting three hours, in a “two-plus-one” format, with two sessions on consecutive weeks and a third session three months later. As with the MBIs, ACT was introduced originally into organisational settings as an occupational well-being intervention. Intervention studies have been conducted with a range of occupational groups, including local government employees, addiction counsellors, employees of a media organisation, social workers, and public health sector employees.¹¹¹

(iv) **Other meanings of mindfulness in the organisational literature.**

In the organisational literature, the term “mindfulness” can also refer to the construct introduced by Weick and colleagues¹¹² in relation to organisational systems and safety practices. This construct, called “organisational mindfulness” was in turn based on the work of educational psychologist Ellen Langer who defines mindfulness as a “flexible state of mind that results from drawing novel distinctions about the situation and the environment”.¹¹³ This flexible state is considered both a result of and a cause of noticing new aspects of our experience and is contrasted with *mindlessness*, a “state of rigidity in which we adhere to a single perspective and act like automatons”.¹¹⁴ This conception of mindfulness, which the authors clearly distinguish from the Buddhist tradition of mindfulness meditation, concerns

¹¹⁰. Hofmann, S. G. (2008). Acceptance and commitment therapy: New wave or Morita therapy? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 15(4), 280–285.

¹¹¹. Brinkborg, H., Michanek, J., Hesser, H., & Berglund, G. (2011). Acceptance and commitment therapy for the treatment of stress among social workers: A randomized controlled trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49(6-7), 389–398; Dahl, J., Wilson, K. G., & Nilsson, A. (2004). Acceptance and commitment therapy and the treatment of persons at risk for long-term disability resulting from stress and pain symptoms: A preliminary randomized trial. *Behaviour Therapy*, 35, 785-801; Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010b). A randomised worksite comparison of acceptance commitment therapy and stress inoculation training. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48(8), 816-820; Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010c). Worksite stress management training: Moderated effects and clinical significance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 15, 347-358; Luoma, J. B., Hayes, S. C., Twohig, M. P., Roget, N., Fisher, G., Padilla, M., et al. (2007). Augmenting continuing education with psychologically focused group consultation: Effects on adoption of group drug counseling. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 44(4), 463-469.

¹¹². Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2001). *Managing the unexpected: Assuring high performance in an age of complexity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

¹¹³. Carson, S., & Langer, E. (2004). Mindful practice for clinicians and patients. In L. Haas (Ed.), *Handbook of primary care psychology* (pp. 173–186). London: Oxford.

¹¹⁴. Carson, 174.

itself with cognitive operations, openness to novelty, sensitivity to perspective, and the creation of categories.

More recently, Weick and colleagues have sought to extend organizational mindfulness theory through recourse to Buddhist mindfulness theory, which in this literature is referred to as “Eastern mindfulness” or “mindfulness-as-process”.¹¹⁵ However, Bjurström¹¹⁶ suggests that theoretical developments in this area require a better understanding of the epistemological and ontological differences between Eastern and Western concepts of mindfulness, through examining such concepts as impermanence, and non-conceptual knowledge.

3.2. Compassion and loving kindness

In Theravada Buddhism, meditation on loving kindness (*mettā*), compassion (*karuṇā*), sympathetic joy (*muditā*), and equanimity (*upekkhā*) have an important role in the development of right concentration in the eightfold path.¹¹⁷ When these states are cultivated they become the four immeasurable attitudes (*cattāri brahmavihāras*), which support progress on the eightfold path. Loving kindness is the heart-felt wish for all beings to have happiness; compassion is the wish for all beings to be free of suffering.¹¹⁸ According to the scheme detailed by Buddhaghosa, the cultivation of loving kindness begins with directing the wish of love to oneself which is then eventually extended to all sentient beings; subsequently, compassion is cultivated which supports the cultivation of sympathetic joy and equanimity in turn.¹¹⁹

In the Mahāyāna traditions, compassion has attained a greater centrality, joining wisdom as one of the two main virtues to be cultivated; ultimately, with full awakening, compassion and wisdom are considered to be inseparable.¹²⁰ In early Mahayana texts, such as the Perfection of Wisdom texts, the four immeasurables are expressed in terms of the teachings on emptiness (Sanskrit: *śūnyatā*) and in terms of the six perfections or

¹¹⁵. Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2006). Mindfulness and the quality of organizational attention. *Organization Science*, 17(4), 514–524.

¹¹⁶. Bjurström, E. (2012). Minding the contexts of mindfulness in quality management. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 29(6), 699-713.

¹¹⁷. Harvey, P. (2000). *An introduction to Buddhist ethics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

¹¹⁸. Bodhi, B. (1998). *The noble eightfold path: Way to the end of suffering*. Kandy, Sri Lanka: Buddhist Publication Society.

¹¹⁹. Harvey, introduction to Buddhist ethics.

¹²⁰. Harvey, introduction to Buddhist ethics.

“transcendent actions” (Sanskrit: *pāramitās*).¹²¹In Tibetan Buddhism, the six *pāramitās* are the principle practices used to facilitate the emergence of compassion.¹²² These are described as actions that are directed towards others and that act as antidotes to the ignorance of the ego. In the Tibetan Buddhist tradition, two important practices are those of the four immeasurables and that of *tonglen* (“sending and taking”).¹²³The development of love and compassion are used to help conventional *bodhichitta* (the “mind of awakening”) to arise; once arisen, it is stabilised through the practice of *tonglen*—a meditation technique that involves “taking in” the suffering of others and “sending” others one’s own happiness.¹²⁴It can be regarded as the “meditative actualisation” of loving kindness and taking it as compassion.¹²⁵

There has been growing interest in compassion and loving kindness among psychological and organisational scholars and practitioners.¹²⁶Loving kindness and compassion meditation is included in the standard version of MBSR; in addition, several psychological intervention programs that incorporate loving kindness and/or compassion have been developed.¹²⁷ With respect to workplace applications, Marques¹²⁸ has suggested that loving kindness and compassion may be an antidote to feelings of discontent in the workplace. In addition, several studies have examined the impact of loving kindness meditation on working adults. Fredrickson and colleagues reported improvements in mental

¹²¹. Makransky, J. (2012). Compassion in Buddhist psychology. In C. K. Germer & R. D. Siegel (Eds.), *Wisdom and compassion in psychotherapy* (pp. 61–74). New York: Guilford.

¹²². Ray, R.A. (2012). *Indestructible truth: The living spirituality of Tibetan Buddhism*. Boston: Shambhala.

¹²³. Ray, indestructible truth.

¹²⁴. Thayer, J. (2001). *Way of Tibetan Buddhism*. Hammersmith, London: Thorsons.

¹²⁵. Shonin, E., Van Gordon, W., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014a). The emerging role of Buddhism in clinical psychology: Towards effective integration. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 6, 123–137.

¹²⁶. Davidson, R. J., & Harrington, A. (Eds.) (2002). *Visions of compassion: Western scientists and Tibetan Buddhists examine human nature*. New York: Oxford University Press; Germer, C. K. (2009). *The mindful path to self-compassion: Freeing yourself from destructive thoughts and emotions*. New York: Guilford; Hofmann, S. G., Grossman, P., & Hinton, D. E. (2011). Loving kindness and compassion meditation: Potential for psychological interventions. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 31, 1126–1132. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.07.003

¹²⁷. Fredrickson, B. L., Cohn, M. A., Coffey, K. A., Pek, J., & Finkel, S. M. (2008). Open hearts build lives: Positive emotions, induced through loving-kindness meditation, build consequential personal resources. *Journal of Personal and Social Psychology*, 95, 1045–1062; Gilbert, compassion-focused therapy; Pace, T. W. W., Negi, L. T., Adame, D. D., Cole, S. P., Sivilli, T. I., Brown, T. D., . . . Raison, C. L. (2009). Effect of compassion meditation on neuroendocrine, innate immune and behavioral responses to psychosocial stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 34, 87–98. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.08.011

¹²⁸. Marques, J. (2008). Toward higher consciousness: A time for “US”. *Interbeing*, 2(1), 33–41.

and physical health and in life, satisfaction as a result of a 7-week loving kindness intervention and these gains were maintained at a 15-month follow-up survey.¹²⁹

One topic that has been of interest to organisational scholars is that of compassion in organisational life. Atkins and Parker¹³⁰ have proposed that mindfulness, as part of the ACT model, may be one means whereby compassion may be enhanced in individual employees. Gabruch¹³¹ explores the potential of compassionate leadership in creating organisational well-being through the creation of more inclusive workplace and suggests that such leadership can contribute to long-term sustainability in organisations.

3.3. Foundational Buddhist concepts

All Buddhist traditions consider the four noble truths as a foundational teaching.¹³² The first talk given by the historical Buddha upon his awakening, which consisted of a profound insight into the nature of reality, was articulated in terms of the Four Noble Truths. These are the truth of suffering, the cause of suffering, the cessation of suffering, and the path to the cessation of suffering. The fourth noble truth describes the path leading to the cessation of suffering, the middle way, or the eightfold path.¹³³ It consists of the following eight factors: (i) right understanding, (ii) right thought, (iii), right speech, (iv) right action, (v) right livelihood, (vi) right effort, (vii) right mindfulness, and (viii) right concentration.¹³⁴ The Buddhist teaching regarding the nature of reality or existence is that all phenomena are suffering (*dukkha*), impermanent (*anicca*), and non-self (*anatta*).¹³⁵ Suffering is of three types or levels: obvious suffering (*dukkha-dukkhatā*); suffering due to impermanence or change (*vipariṇāma-dukkha*); and the suffering of conditioned existence (*samkhāra-dukkha*).

The principle of conditionality (*paṭicca-samuppāda*) is widely considered the Buddha's fundamental teaching and is a key thread that runs through many other Buddhist

¹²⁹. Fredrickson, open hearts; Cohn, M. A., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2010). In search of durable positive psychology interventions: Predictors and consequences of long-term positive behavior change. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 5(5), 355–366.

¹³⁰. Atkins, P. W. B., & Parker, S. K. (2012). Understanding individual compassion in organizations: The role of appraisals and psychological flexibility. *Academy of Management review*, 37(4), 524–546.

¹³¹. Gabruch, D. (2014). Compassionate leadership: A model for organizational well-being in the workplace. Unpublished thesis.

¹³². Gombrich, R. (2009). *What the Buddha thought*. London: Equinox.

¹³³. Narada Mahathera. (2010). *The Buddha and His Teaching* (3rd ed.). Sri Lanka, Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society.

¹³⁴. Rahula, W. (1990). *What the Buddha taught*. London: Wisdom Books.

¹³⁵. Harvey, P. (2013). *An introduction to Buddhism: Teachings, history and practices* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

teachings.¹³⁶ The principle of conditionality, expressed as the law of dependent origination, declares that all things arise and exist due to the presence of certain conditions, and cease again once their conditions cease.¹³⁷ All phenomena are said to be impermanent because they arise at some point, endure for a period of time, and eventually pass away depending on causes and conditions. It is because all conditioned phenomena are impermanent that they are *dukkha*—everything that is impermanent is subject to change and there is no permanent satisfaction or happiness to be obtained from such things. In addition, because conditioned phenomena are impermanent and *dukkha*, they cannot be a permanent and enduring self and are, therefore, non-self. Rather, what we take to be the self, in accordance with the teaching of conditioned arising, is nothing other than an ever-changing flow of physical and mental phenomena—the *khandhas*.¹³⁸

The organisational literature that has addressed various Buddhist concepts in relation to different aspects of organisational functioning is small and largely of a theoretical nature. Nonetheless, the Buddhist concepts addressed in this literature include the Four Noble Truths,¹³⁹ the Noble Eightfold Path,¹⁴⁰ dependent origination,¹⁴¹ impermanence,¹⁴² and interconnectedness.¹⁴³

The concept of *bodhisattva* (Pali: *bodhisatta*) is central to the Mahayana teachings. The term *bodhisattva* refers to a person on the path of awakening through the practice of the six *pāramitās* (perfections); it is someone who seeks perfect awakening through the development of wisdom (Sanskrit: *prajñā*) and by benefitting all beings through compassion.¹⁴⁴ Schuyler interviewed members of an entrepreneurial organization and found

¹³⁶. Della Santina, P. (1997). *The tree of enlightenment: An introduction to the major traditions of Buddhism*. Chico Dharma Study Foundation.

¹³⁷. Harvey, introduction to Buddhism.

¹³⁸. Harvey, introduction to Buddhism.

¹³⁹. Johansen, B.P., Gopalakrishna, D. (2006). A Buddhist view of adult learning in the workplace. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 8(3), 337–345.

¹⁴⁰. Atkinson, eight Buddhist methods.

¹⁴¹. Vallabh, P., & Singhal, M. (2014). Buddhism and decision making at individual, group and organizational levels. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 763–775.

¹⁴². Marshall, N., & Simpson, B. (2014). Learning networks and the practice of wisdom. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 23(4) 421–432.

¹⁴³. Rees, B, Dh, A., & Dh, D. (2002). Organising realities: A Buddhist perspective. *Management Research News*, 25, 8-10.

¹⁴⁴. Kawamura, L. S. (2004). Bodhisattva(s). In R. E. Buswell (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of Buddhism* (pp. 58–60). MacMillan Reference USA.

in that interview participants reported a good fit between the concept of the *bodhisattva* and their daily work experiences.¹⁴⁵

3.4. Zen Buddhist practices

Zen Buddhism is a Mahayana tradition that originated in China and emerged as a distinctive school in Japan during the Kamakura period (1185-1336) as a further development of the Caodong (Soto) and Lin-chi (Rinzai) lines of Chinese Chan Buddhism.¹⁴⁶ Soto Zen emphasizes the practice of *shikantaza*, or “just sitting” whereas Rinzai Zen emphasises *kaon* study and *dokusan*.¹⁴⁷ The *koan* is a paradoxical story—to the unenlightened mind—assigned to a student in order to assist their awakening and to assess the deepness of their realization.¹⁴⁸

Despite a plethora of popular books on zen and management, leadership, and work,¹⁴⁹ there is little published scholarly literature on the application of Zen Buddhist concepts or practices within organisational settings. Low and Purser¹⁵⁰ have suggested that Zen *koans* may enhance managers’ ability to solve organizational problems and dilemmas. Fitz Patrick¹⁵¹ has suggested that the skills developed by *koan* use may assist public administrators to better conduct their duties from an enhanced focus on the interconnectedness of social systems.

¹⁴⁵.Schuyler, K. G. (2010). Increasing leadership integrity through mind training and embodied learning. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 62(1), 21–38.

¹⁴⁶.Tamura, Y. (2000). *Japanese Buddhism: A cultural history*. Tokyo: Kosei Publishing Co.

¹⁴⁷.Leighton, T. D. (2004). Introduction: Hongzhi, Dogen, and the background of shikantaza. In J. D. Looi, J. D. (Ed.) *The art of just sitting: Essential writings on the Zen practice of shikantaza* (2nded.). Somerville, Massachusetts: Wisdom Publications.

¹⁴⁸.Kapleau, P. (1989/2000).*The three pillars of Zen: Teaching, practice, and enlightenment* (rev.). New York: Anchor.

¹⁴⁹.For example, Lesser (2005).

¹⁵⁰.Low, creative management of dilemmas.

¹⁵¹.FitzPatrick, S. (2005). Open-ended tangled hierarchies: Zen koans and paradox in public administration. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 28(11-12), 957-971.

Chapter 4: What is lost in translation?

The development of psychological programs based on mindfulness and other Buddhist practices have progressed rapidly over recent years. The utilisation of Buddhist concepts and practices for secular purposes has not been free of difficulties. A number of concerns have been raised regarding the way in which Buddhist concepts and practices have been understood in the psychological and organisational literature and the uses to which they have been put within organisational settings. The central concern, from a Buddhist perspective, is the extent to which these concepts and practices deviate from and distort traditional Buddhist teachings.

4.1. The accuracy of Buddhist terms in the psychological and organisational literature

Concerns have been raised that Buddhist terms as they are used in the psychological and organisational literature do not accord with their meanings in authoritative traditional Buddhist sources. Much of the discussion centres on the precise meaning of mindfulness and the criticism that mindfulness as it is understood in mainstream psychological literature is only a selective and partial understanding of traditional Buddhist meanings, as for example, those derived from classical Buddhist canonical sources. In particular, commentators have taken issue with the characterisation of *sati* as “bare attention”, “non-judgemental awareness”, and “present-moment awareness” as being inconsistent with classical Buddhist accounts.¹⁵²

Drawing on the *Abhidhamma* and associated commentarial literature, Buddhist scholars have clearly delineated *sati* from the concept of bare attention.¹⁵³ The term “bare attention” appears to conflate what the *Abhidhamma* lists as two distinct mental factors—*sati* and *manasikāra*.¹⁵⁴ *Manasikāra* is described as a mental factor common to all states of consciousness and refers to the momentary bare cognizing of an object, prior to its recognition, identification, and conceptualisation.¹⁵⁵ Whereas *manasikāra* occurs at the inception of the cognitive process and functions to orient the mind to an object, *sati* occurs at a later stage of the cognitive process and functions to sustain attention on the object rendering

¹⁵². For example, see Bodhi(2011), Gethin(2011), and Sharf(2014).

¹⁵³. See Analayo (2003), Bodhi (2011), Olendzki (2011), and Wallace (2008).

¹⁵⁴. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean?

¹⁵⁵. Analayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna: the direct path*.

it “vivid to lucid cognition”.¹⁵⁶ Moreover, in the *Abhidhamma*, *manasikāra* is categorised among mental factors common to all states of consciousness, and is ethically-indeterminate.¹⁵⁷ By contrast, as discussed previously, mindfulness is categorised in the *Abhidhamma* as a wholesome mental factor and hence has a positive ethical valence.¹⁵⁸

Psychological and organisational scholars frequently cite Nyanaponika Thera¹⁵⁹ and, Bhante Henepola Gunaratana¹⁶⁰ in support of the rendering of mindfulness as “bare attention”. Gethin’s reading of Nyanaponika, however, indicates that Nyanaponika clearly distinguishes “bare attention,” as an initial and elementary aspect of mindfulness, and mindfulness proper (i.e., right mindfulness) as an element of the eightfold path.¹⁶¹ Moreover, Bhikkhu Bodhi, a student of Nyanaponika, states that he did not intend for mindfulness-sati to be translated as “bare attention” but rather used the term to convey to new meditators the experience of mindfulness.¹⁶² Thus these scholars suggest that “bare attention” may be useful as a pedagogical strategy to describe the experience of mindfulness practice to new students, or as an initial stage of mindfulness practice that serves to counteract the tendency to view things from the perspective of self-interest, but assert that in no way can *sati* in the Buddhist canonical sense be identified solely with it.¹⁶³

Buddhist scholars have criticised the characterization of mindfulness as “non-judgemental awareness” when this is equated with a passive acceptance of what arises in present experience.¹⁶⁴ The key issue from a traditional Buddhist perspective is that this definition fails to recognise the evaluative function of mindfulness in discerning wholesome/skilful mental states and actions from unwholesome/unskilful ones and therefore its relationship to ethical development. In other words, the term “non-judgemental” can be taken to imply, erroneously from a Buddhist perspective, that mindfulness can be understood independently of efforts to cultivate cognitive, emotional and behavioural responses are conducive to ethically-wholesome conduct.¹⁶⁵ Indeed, as will be discussed below, the entire

¹⁵⁶. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean? 30.

¹⁵⁷. Wallace, a mindful balance.

¹⁵⁸. Olendzki, unlimiting mind.

¹⁵⁹. Nyanaponika, heart of Buddhist meditation.

¹⁶⁰. Gunaratana, B. H. (2002). *Mindfulness in plain English*. Boston: Wisdom Publications.

¹⁶¹. Gethin, definitions of mindfulness, 263–279.

¹⁶². Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean? 19-39.

¹⁶³. Gethin, definitions of mindfulness, 263–279.

¹⁶⁴. Brazier, D. (2013). Mindfulness reconsidered. *European Journal of Psychotherapy & Counseling*, 15, 116-126; Dreyfus, G. (2011). Is mindfulness present-centred and non-judgmental? A discussion of the cognitive dimensions of mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 41-54.

¹⁶⁵. Shonin, emerging role of Buddhism, 123–137.

ethical framework in which mindfulness is developed to its full capacity in the traditional Buddhist accounts is largely ignored in mainstream psychological and organisational literature and practice.

Buddhist scholars have also examined the limitations of the concept of “present-moment awareness”.¹⁶⁶ First, Buddhist scholars caution that the directive to be “in the moment” or to “be present” can unwittingly lead to “grasping at” a reified notion of “the present moment”. These authors explain that, from a Buddhist perspective, the “present moment” is simply a concept that does not exist in the manner we are accustomed to think it does and that “the whole point of remembering to be aware of the present moment is so that we can remember to let go of it”.¹⁶⁷ In addition, it has also been argued that the type of attention to the present moment that is cultivated in secular mindfulness training, while helpful for interrupting ruminations about the present and future, and thereby reducing suffering in the most obvious sense, fails to address the more subtle levels of suffering recognised in the Buddhist teachings.¹⁶⁸

Given this state of affairs, it is unsurprising that there is considerable confusion in the psychological literature regarding the precise meaning and nature of mindfulness. For example, despite considerable scholarly debate and exposition, there remains no clear consensus in the psychological literature regarding how mindfulness is to be defined or operationalised.¹⁶⁹ While some see this as a difficulty that is to be solved through the design of better psychological instruments for measuring mindfulness and explaining it according to existing psychological/scientific models,¹⁷⁰ others have noted that this confusion may stem from attempts to define mindfulness in isolation from other Buddhist concepts and its interrelationships with other cognitive functions and mental factors that render its meaning and functions.¹⁷¹ Grossman¹⁷² has described the difficulties that have arisen as psychological researchers have proceeded to develop questionnaires for the measurement of mindfulness based on only a rudimentary familiarity of the relevant Buddhist literature or only a brief

¹⁶⁶. Purser, R. (2014). The myth of the present moment. *Mindfulness*. doi 10.1007/s12671-014-0333-z; Shonin, E., & Van Gordon, W. (2014b). Searching for the present moment. *Mindfulness*, 5, 105–107.

¹⁶⁷. Shonin, the present moment, 105–107.

¹⁶⁸. Purser, myth of the present moment.

¹⁶⁹. Chiesa, A. (2013). The difficulty of defining mindfulness: Current thought and critical issues. *Mindfulness* 4, 255–268.

¹⁷⁰. Baer, R. A. (2011). Measuring mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 241–261.

¹⁷¹. Dreyfus, present-centred and non-judgmental, 41–54; Van Gordon, meditation awareness training, 381–391.

¹⁷². Grossman, P. (2008). On measuring mindfulness in psychosomatic and psychological research. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 64, 405–408.

experience with the techniques. This situation has led Theravādin scholar Bikkhu Bodhi to remark that the concept of mindfulness has become “so vague and elastic that it serves almost as a cipher into which one can read virtually anything we want”.¹⁷³

The situation is made worse as mainstream psychological and organisational scholars and practitioners, relying on incomplete or partial understandings of the Buddhist mindfulness concept, seek to develop theoretical models of how mindfulness works and its relationship to other psychological and organisational variables.¹⁷⁴ Within the organisational literature specifically, these definitional difficulties are compounded as mindfulness-sati is conflated with Langer’s concept of mindfulness¹⁷⁵ and equated with any number of psychological constructs, such as flow and engagement, with which it has a superficial resemblance. These trends in the scholarly literature serve to further obscure the Buddhist meaning of the concept.

4.2. The absence of ethics in mindfulness training

Traditionally, right mindfulness is one of several activities that constitute the eightfold path to the cessation of suffering as outlined in the fourth of the Four Noble Truths. From the perspective of the Buddhist traditions, these activities are regarded as interdependent such that it is not possible to develop one (i.e. mindfulness) in the absence of the cultivation of other limbs of the path. In practice, the eight limbs of the eightfold path are described in terms of the “three trainings” (*sikkhā*): morality/virtue (*sīla*), concentration (*samādhi*) and wisdom (*paññā*).¹⁷⁶ In this scheme, the development of *sīla*, which involves various ethical codes or rules of conduct, is understood to be not only a precondition for the training in concentration, and hence wisdom, but also as providing the appropriate conditions for its full development.¹⁷⁷

When mindfulness is considered in the context of its meaning within the Abhidhammic and associated commentarial literature, its role is one of discerning, moment by moment, whether any particular action or mental state is wholesome (*kusala*) or unwholesome (*akusala*).¹⁷⁸ The Theravāda commentaries explain the meaning of “*kusala*” in

¹⁷³. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean? 22.

¹⁷⁴. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 3–24.

¹⁷⁵. Langer, E. J. (1989). *Mindfulness*. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.

¹⁷⁶. Nyanatiloka, Buddhist dictionary.

¹⁷⁷. Ray, indestructible truth.

¹⁷⁸. Harvey, P. (2012). Theravada Abhidhamma as a guide to mindful exploration of mental qualities. *Middle Way*, 87(2), 123-130.

a variety of interrelated ways, including: free of the illnesses of greed, hatred, and delusion (*ārogya*); blameless or faultless (*anavajja*); produced by skilfulness that is wisdom (*kosala-sambhūta*); and with a kammic result that is happy (*sukha-vipāka*). As such, mindfulness is a mental factor that is associated with desirable results which, in the overall scheme of the *Abhidhamma*, means it is an antidote for unwholesome states of mind that perpetuate suffering.¹⁷⁹

The interrelationship between mindfulness and ethical development is also borne out in the meanings of the term *sati* as they occur in early textual sources.¹⁸⁰ In these texts mindfulness refers to the discernment of a given mental states as conducive to *dukkha* or *sukka*. Another dimension of mindfulness described in these texts is one in which it is involved in the dislodgement of harmful states and their replacement with beneficial wholesome states (i.e., wisely directed attention). Still another aspect of mindfulness is its role in directing attention towards beneficial wholesome thoughts and images.

Thus traditional Buddhist accounts clearly link mindfulness with ethical development. In the *Abhidhamma*, mindfulness considered a wholesome mental factor that clearly distinguishes wholesome from unwholesome mental states and actions and functions to cultivate wholesome mental states and counteract unwholesome mental states.¹⁸¹ It operates in concert with other path factors, such as right view and right effort, in discerning wholesome from unwholesome, and in cultivating the former and abandoning the latter.¹⁸² From the perspective of most Buddhist traditions, mindfulness and ethical development cannot be separated—ethical development supports the development of mindfulness and mindfulness has an important role in cultivating ethical mind states and action.

Yet in the mainstream psychological and organisational literature, as has been noted above, mindfulness is frequently described as “non-judgemental awareness” or “bare attention”—a characterisation that leads to the misconception, from a Buddhist perspective, that mindfulness can be considered in isolation of ethical development or the abandonment of unwholesome states of mind and the cultivation of wholesome states of mind.¹⁸³ Mindfulness becomes right mindfulness (*sammā sati*) in the context of the other factors of the eightfold path in which it must always be “guided by right view, steered by right intention, grounded in

¹⁷⁹. Harvey, *mindful exploration*, 123-130.

¹⁸⁰. For example, see Kuan (2008).

¹⁸¹. Harvey, *mindful exploration*, 123-130.

¹⁸². Kang, a dialogue, 161–173.

¹⁸³. Wallace, *a mindful balance*, 60–63, 109–11.

the three ethical factors, and cultivated in conjunction with *sāmmāvāyāmā*, right effort”.¹⁸⁴ Moreover, its relationship with right effort “necessarily presupposes the distinction of mental states into the wholesome and the unwholesome”.¹⁸⁵

When the original MBSR program was developed, the ethical component was not included because of a concern by its developers that the ethical aspects of mindfulness practice was not appropriate in secular contexts and might otherwise reduce the accessibility of the program to many potential clients who could benefit from the program.¹⁸⁶ Moreover, the developers held that mindfulness practice itself implicitly facilitates ethical development—an argument which has been challenged by Buddhist scholars.¹⁸⁷

It is not surprising, therefore, that the presentation of mindfulness as functioning independently of ethical development in mainstream psychological and organisational literature has attracted a considerable level of criticism from psychologists and Western and Asian Buddhist scholars and practitioners.¹⁸⁸ The central thrust of the criticism is that definitions of mindfulness as “nonjudgmental” and “bare attention”, to the extent that they obscure the relationship of mindfulness to ethical development, are at best a partial understanding and, at worst, a fundamental distortion of the mindfulness concept as it is understood within Buddhist traditions. Moreover, when mindfulness is characterised in this way, not only is it erroneously separated from the broader body of Buddhist teachings, but it allows these teachings to be dismissed as irrelevant to further psychological and other secular developments of mindfulness.¹⁸⁹

This issue becomes more pronounced as mindfulness training becomes a standard approach within organisational settings, particularly as these training programs become briefer and delivered by individuals unfamiliar with the Buddhist origins of mindfulness meditation. As an example, in such contexts mindfulness is promoted as a technique for

¹⁸⁴. Bodhi, noble eightfold path, 7.

¹⁸⁵. Bodhi, noble eightfold path, 7.

¹⁸⁶. This stems from the training of Western psychologists and other professionals who typically regard ethics as an imposition of personal values on clients; moreover, while many professionals have a code of conduct, ethics and morality are not seen as having a direct influence on therapeutic outcomes (but see Tiberius & Plakais, 2010).

¹⁸⁷. For example, Wallace (2008).

¹⁸⁸. Stanley, S. (2013). ‘Things said or done long ago are remembered’: The ethics of mindfulness in early Buddhism, psychotherapy and clinical psychology. *European Journal of Psychotherapy and Counselling*.doi: 10.1080/13642537.2013.793338

¹⁸⁹. Wallace, a mindful balance, 60–63, 109–11.

assisting employees ‘sustain concentration on organizational goals’,¹⁹⁰ rather than a means for discerning *kusala* or *akusala* nature of those goals.

4.3. The obscuration of Buddhism as psycho-spiritual system of development

The ultimate aim of Buddhism is awakening or enlightenment, whether this refers to the full nirvanic extinction of all desires, an awakening in this world motivated by great compassion to bring all others to salvation, or the realization of one’s fundamental nature (i.e., *tathāgata-garbha*). All of the vast array of teachings and practices developed by the various Buddhist traditions over its long history are thus ultimately oriented towards a soteriological, religious, or spiritual end.¹⁹¹

From this vast array of teachings, only a restricted set of concepts and practices have figured prominently in mainstream psychological and organisational theory and practice. In particular, the accounts of mindfulness, both as a concept and a practice, fall short of the descriptions found in classical Buddhist accounts of mindfulness¹⁹² and how it has been understood throughout later periods of Buddhist thought.¹⁹³ The mainstream scientific and psychological literature on mindfulness has largely ignored the theoretical descriptions contained in Buddhist canonical literature¹⁹⁴ and, from the perspective of many Buddhist traditions, have narrowly focused on a particular restricted form of mindfulness practice. Within the mainstream psychological and organisational literature, and reflected in organisational practice, mindfulness is seen primarily as a technique for the enhancement of focused attention and the reduction of stress.¹⁹⁵

This is problematic, critics argue, because a mindfulness practice that is divested of its relationship to the development of ethical conduct and wisdom can no longer claim to be efficacious in attaining liberation from suffering, as this is understood in the Buddhist teachings. From a Buddhist perspective, the development of wisdom—a direct realization of

¹⁹⁰. See, for example, Weick & Putnam (2006).

¹⁹¹. For the majority of Buddhists in Asiapractice (e.g., accumulation of merit) is oriented towards worldly benefits, either in the present life or the next (Tilakaratne, 2012).

¹⁹². Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean? 19-39; Gethin, definitions of mindfulness, 263–279; Mikulas, W. L. (2011). Mindfulness: Significant common confusions. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 2(1), 1-7.

¹⁹³. Kang, a dialogue, 161–173.

¹⁹⁴. Davis, J. H., & Thompson, E. (2014). From the five aggregates to phenomenal consciousness: Towards a cross-cultural cognitive science. In S. M. Emmanuel (Ed.), *A companion to Buddhist philosophy* (pp. 585-598). New York, NY: John Wiley.

¹⁹⁵. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 3–24.

the nature of things—is the curative factor in its broadest sense in that it alone can permanently eradicate greed, hatred, and the fundamental ignorance. When mindfulness is divorced from its fundamental role in the ultimate Buddhist goal of awakening, something that is integral to Buddhism as a psycho-spiritual system is lost.¹⁹⁶

Buddhist scholars and practitioners have expressed a variety of opinions on the way that mindfulness is understood and applied in organisational settings. Some see the current level of understanding as an example of “skilful means” (*upāyakaṣālyā*) whereby recipients of training are afforded an initial step along a path that leads ultimately to the cessation of suffering. Others see it as inevitable phase of an ongoing adaptation of Buddhism to a new set of historical and cultural contexts in which it is rendered relevant through the selection and modification of those elements and aspects which are most relevant to these contexts. Still others, expressing a modernist sentiment, see it as a necessity in which mindfulness must be secularised from its pre-modern religious and culturally-contingent elements.

Others are more critical, seeing in the recent organisational application of mindfulness training an appropriation and distortion of traditional Buddhist teachings.¹⁹⁷ One of the key issues appears to be that mindfulness, when viewed as a technique for attention enhancement and stress reduction, obscures not only its ethical aspects, but also its function in facilitating transformative change as it is understood in the Buddhist teachings. For example, the improvements in stress and distress observed in participants who partake on workplace mindfulness training constitute only the most obvious level of suffering (*dukkha-dukkhatā*) that have been elucidated in the Buddhist teachings. In this way, it is argued, the way in which mindfulness training is used in organisations fails to be used in a way that facilitates awakening, but rather as a sort of ‘palliative’ to the stress of modern organisational life that obscures deeper dimensions of suffering and hence the motivation to seek enlightenment.¹⁹⁸

It is not only that mindfulness used in this way has so denatured the Buddhist teachings as to nullify its full import for awakening, but that mindfulness training divorced from its ethical and wisdom aspects risks being in ways that are counter to its intent in Buddhist soteriology.¹⁹⁹ For example, to the extent that mindfulness training is used to enhance a focus on goals and goal-related activity, in absence of the functioning of ethical

¹⁹⁶. Bodhi, what does mindfulness really mean?19-39; Gethin, some definitions of mindfulness, 263–279.

¹⁹⁷. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 3–24; Wilson, mindful America.

¹⁹⁸. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 3–24.

¹⁹⁹. Forbes, D. (2012). Occupy mindfulness. Retrieved from [http:// beamsandstruts.com/articles/item/982-occupy-mindfulness](http://beamsandstruts.com/articles/item/982-occupy-mindfulness)

discernment and wisdom, such goals may lead to activity that is harmful to the individual and to others. Perhaps the most emotive issue pertains to the use of mindfulness training in the military—it is argued that framing mindfulness as an ethically neutral technique for purposes that potentially involve harming others raises significant issues for Buddhist ethics.²⁰⁰

In addition, when mindfulness training does not admit of the Buddhist teachings on impermanence (*anicca*), and the non-self (*anatta*), there is a risk that the enhanced goal-directed activity may simply serve to unwittingly reinforce self-centred values and behaviours.²⁰¹ This is, according to Buddhist teachings, because suffering of self-centred conditioning (*samkhāra-dukkhatā*) is inherent in the mind's (subconscious) attempt to create from the flow of experience the sense of a substantial and enduring 'self' existing independently in a stable world; such a reified 'self' generates patterns of clinging and aversion in order to affirm and protect that sense of self.²⁰² Therefore, enhanced goal-directed activity may serve only to "solidify" the "sense of self", and hence reinforce fundamental ignorance, rather than to reduce its influence.²⁰³

In the final analysis, contemporary uses of mindfulness and other Buddhist concepts and practices in secular and organisational settings may lack fidelity with Buddhist teachings because of fundamental incompatibilities between Buddhist and western scientific philosophies and epistemologies. In their efforts to render Buddhist concepts and practices palatable for scientific psychology and for application in organisations and other secular settings, the essential character of Buddhist teachings may have become obscured.

²⁰⁰.Forbes, occupy mindfulness.

²⁰¹.Forbes, occupy mindfulness.

²⁰².Makransky, compassion in Buddhist psychology, 61–74.

²⁰³.Purser, myth of the present moment.

Chapter 5: The influence of Buddhist thought on organisational theory and practice

As indicated previously, the history of Buddhism shows that Buddhist thought has both adapted itself to new cultures and times and, in turn, influenced those same cultures in significant ways. In this chapter, the influence of Buddhist thought on Western organisational theory and practice is examined through a review of the evidence for its effects on various areas of organisational functioning. The chapter concludes with a critical review of the methodological quality of studies that have demonstrated these effects.

5.1. Occupational well-being

The most frequently discussed organisational application of mindfulness meditation in the scholarly literature is for the reduction of stress and burnout and the management of negative emotions.²⁰⁴ Evidence from MBSR intervention studies support the effectiveness of MBSR in reducing psychological distress in the workplace.²⁰⁵ Studies have also reported improvements in relaxation, perceived stress, and coping strategies.²⁰⁶ The evidence for the reduction of burnout is mixed with some studies reporting a reduction in burnout and others failing to find this effect.²⁰⁷ Intervention studies that evaluated the impact of ACT in the workplace also report reductions in psychological distress and symptoms of burnout.²⁰⁸

²⁰⁴. Fries, M. (2009). Mindfulness based stress reduction for the changing work environment. *Journal of Academic and Business Ethics*, 2, 1-10; Hede, A. (2010). The dynamics of mindfulness in managing emotions and stress. *Journal of Management Development*, 29(1), 94-110.

²⁰⁵. Geary, C., & Rosenthal, S. L. (2011). Sustained impact of MBSR on stress, well-being, and daily spiritual experiences for 1 year in academic health care employees. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 17(10), 939-944.

²⁰⁶. Mackenzie, 105-109; Jacobs, B., & Nagel, L. (2003-2004). The impact of a brief mindfulness-based stress reduction program on perceived quality of life. *International Journal of Self-help & Self-care*, 2, 155-168; Shapiro, S. L., Astin, J. A., Bishop, S. R., & Cordova, M. (2005). Mindfulness-based stress reduction for health care professionals: Results from a randomized trial. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 12, 164-176.

²⁰⁷. See Cohen-Katz et al. (2005), Mackenzie et al. (2006), Poulin et al. (2008), and Shapiro et al. (2005).

²⁰⁸. Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010b). A randomised worksite comparison of acceptance commitment therapy and stress inoculation training. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48(8), 816-820; Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010c). Worksite stress management training: Moderated effects and clinical significance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 15, 347-358; Hayes, S. C., Bissett, R., Roget, N., Padilla, M., Kohlenberg, B. S., Fisher, G., et al. (2004). The impact of acceptance and commitment training and multicultural training on the stigmatizing attitudes and professional burnout of substance abuse counselors. *Behavior Therapy*, 35, 821-835.

MBIs have also been shown to positively impact a range of other well-being outcomes, including life satisfaction, quality of life, general well-being, sleep quality, and brain and immune system functioning.²⁰⁹

5.2. Job performance, productivity, and organisational effectiveness

There is a large body of popular and professional literature that addresses the potential of mindfulness to improve individual and organizational effectiveness.²¹⁰ The scholarly literature that addresses the relationships between mindfulness training and individual and organisational effectiveness is not as voluminous and tends to be more reserved in its claims.²¹¹ In general, it has been suggested that mindfulness training may contribute to improvements in individual employee performance and effectiveness through: (i) mitigating the effects of stress and mental health issues on cognitive and interpersonal functioning; (ii) reducing distractibility and enhancing focus; or (iii) through enhancing skills, learning, and competencies that are related to effectiveness at work. Moreover, it has also been suggested that mindfulness training may impact on organisational effectiveness through reducing the productivity losses that occur due to health costs, absenteeism, and stress-related illnesses.²¹²

Despite considerable interest in the effects of mindfulness on job performance, however, to date very few studies have empirically examined these associations. There has only been one intervention study that has examined the effect of mindfulness meditation on work performance outcomes. Shonin and colleagues²¹³ reported that Meditation Awareness Training (described previously) not only reduced work-related stress but improved job satisfaction and employer-rated job performance in a sample of office-based middle managers. In addition, Bond and Bunce²¹⁴ reported that ACT increased propensity to innovate, a job performance indicator, in a sample of UK media organisation employees. In addition, while mindfulness training has been shown to enhance aspects of attentive

²⁰⁹. Poulin, mindfulness training, 35-43; Jacobs, brief mindfulness-based stress reduction, 155-168; Geary, impact of MBSR, 939-944; Klatt, low-dose mindfulness-based stress, 601-614; Davidson, alterations in brain and immune function, 564-570.

²¹⁰. Examples of non-scholarly literature are: Carroll (2007), Chaskalson (2011), and Marturano (2014).

²¹¹. Dhiman, S. (2008). Cultivating mindfulness: The Buddhist art of paying attention to attention. *Interbeing*, 2(2), 35-52; Sibinga, E. M. S., & Wu, A. W. (2010). Clinician mindfulness and patient safety. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 304(22), 2532-2533; Smallwood, J., Mrazek, M. D., & Schooler, J. W. (2011). Medicine for the wandering mind: Mind wandering in medical practice. *Medical Education*, 45, 1072-1080.

²¹². Van Gordon, meditation awareness, 381-391.

²¹³. Shonin, meditation awareness training.

²¹⁴. Bond, mediators of change, 156-163.

functioning in non-workplace studies,²¹⁵ no studies have yet examined the relationship between improved attentive functioning and objective measures of workplace performance. Indeed, Dane²¹⁶ cautioned against the assumption that the effects of mindfulness training on attentive functioning necessarily equate to improved task performance in the workplace and suggested mindfulness may inhibit rather than enhance task performance under certain conditions.

5.3. Leadership development and effectiveness

There is considerable interest in the popular, professional and scholarly literature in the potential of mindfulness training for enhancing leadership skills, effectiveness, and development.²¹⁷ Much of the literature posits theoretically-based accounts of how mindfulness may enhance leader effectiveness through the development of qualities or skills that are considered important to the role of leadership. For example, Boyatzis and McKee²¹⁸ view mindfulness, along with hope and compassion, as a means of developing what they call “resonant leadership”. Sethi²¹⁹ asserts that mindfulness is a key leadership competency that contributes to leadership effectiveness through enhancing focus, awareness, and being more “in-the-moment”. Goleman²²⁰ suggests that mindfulness can contribute to leadership development through enhancing emotional intelligence competencies.

As with the areas of performance improvement, there is very little direct empirical evidence from intervention studies to support the relationship between mindfulness training and leadership. The review of the literature conducted for the present study failed to identify any intervention studies that had examined the impact of mindfulness training on leadership performance or leadership-specific outcomes. This is not surprising given that the absence of adequate evaluation is a feature of organisational leadership development programs in

²¹⁵. Jha, A. P., Krompinger, J., & Baime, M. J. (2007). Mindfulness training modifies subsystems of attention. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 7, 109-119.

²¹⁶. Dane, effects on task performance, 997-1018.

²¹⁷. Moran, D. J. (2010). ACT for leadership: Using acceptance and commitment training to develop crisis-resilient change managers. *International Journal of Behavioral Consultation and Therapy*, 6(4), 341-355; Sauer, S., & Kohls, N. (2011). Mindfulness in leadership: Does being mindful enhance leader's business success? In S. Han & E. Pöppel (Eds.), *Culture and neural frames of cognition and communication on thinking 3* (pp. 287-306). Berlin: Springer-Verlag; Santorelli, S. F. (2011). 'Enjoy your death': leadership lessons forged in the crucible of organizational death and rebirth infused with mindfulness and mastery. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 199-217; Sethi, D. (2009). Mindful leadership. *Leader to Leader*, Winter, 7-11.

²¹⁸. Boyatzis, resonant leadership, 15-18.

²¹⁹. Sethi, 7-11.

²²⁰. Goleman, brain and emotional intelligence.

general is a widespread concern among organisational scholars.²²¹ The current review identified only one study that had specifically examined the impact of a mindfulness intervention on leaders. Pipe and colleagues²²² reported that an MBI conducted with nurse leaders reduced psychological distress and improved caring efficacy in participants; no leadership specific outcomes were assessed.

5.4. Individual and organisational change

One of the acknowledged contributions of Buddhist thought to therapeutic psychology is to the development of new models of therapeutic change; a mindfulness-based philosophy of psychological therapy has been described as a paradigm shift in the treatment of psychological disorders²²³ and the “third wave”,²²⁴ in the development of cognitive and behavioural therapies.²²⁵ In addition, the study of Buddhist meditation and other contemplative practices has contributed to significant advances in understanding brain function and development and its capacity for learning and change, most notably, the neuroplasticity of the brain.²²⁶

Nonetheless, the developments in the understanding of human change processes have the potential for influencing a broad range of organisational processes that are predicated on an understanding of human behaviour and change. The most obvious are those activities that are directly concerned with human change, such as workplace counselling, coaching, team-building, performance management reviews and supervisory activities. For example, workplace and executive coaching are mainstream developmental activities in

²²¹. Avolio, B. J., Reichard, R. J., Hannah, S. T., Walumbwa, F. O., & Chan, A. (2009). A meta-analytic review of leadership impact research: Experimental and quasi-experimental studies. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20, 764–784.

²²². Pipe, T., Bortz, J.J., Dueck, A., Pendergast, D., Buchda, V., & Summers, J. (2009). Nurse leader mindfulness mediation program for stress management: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 39(3), 130-137.

²²³. Segal, Z. V., Williams, M. G., & Teasdale, J. D. (2002). *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: A new approach to preventing relapse*. New York: Guilford Press.

²²⁴. The “first wave” refers to behaviour therapy, which emerged in the 1950s; the “second wave” refers to cognitive therapy, which arose in the 1960s and 1970s and emphasized the centrality of cognition in understanding mental health conditions and as a target for interventions; the “third wave” involves a shift in clinical focus from unproductive attempts to modify or control internal experiences to skills that change one’s relationship to that experience (Hayes, 2004).

²²⁵. Hayes, S. C., Villatte, M., Levin, M., & Hildebrandt, M. (2011). Open, aware, and active: Contextual approaches as an emerging trend in the behavioral and cognitive therapies. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 7, 141-168.

²²⁶. Davidson, R.J., & Lutz, A. (September, 2007). Buddha’s brain: Neuroplasticity and meditation. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 172-174.

organisations²²⁷ and a number of authors have suggested that coaching based on mindfulness may confer individual and organisational benefits.²²⁸ In particular, the ACT model, with its emphasis on behavioural change, appears well-suited for workplace coaching, and Moran²²⁹ described how it may be particularly helpful in enabling leaders to develop a range of crisis-resiliency and values-directed behavioural change management skills.

Several interventions using the ACT approach support the idea that mindfulness may contribute to behavioural change in the workplace. For example, in ACT intervention studies with substance abuse counsellors have found that ACT facilitated the adoption of an empirically validated treatment approach, enhanced addiction counsellors' willingness to use evidence-based pharmacotherapy, and was effective in promoting change in stigmatising attitudes.²³⁰

Another potential application of mindfulness is in the area of organisational-level change and its management.²³¹ As an example, Hunt²³² argued that mindfulness may help restore a 'sense of life' to health care delivery services that have been eroded by a modern industrial style of health care delivery. Similarly, De Valve and Adkinson²³³ called for a greater use of the principles of mindfulness and compassion to transform the culture of policing in North America. The review of the literature conducted for the present study found no intervention studies that have evaluated the impact of mindfulness organisation-level change-related outcomes. The potential of mindfulness and other Buddhist practices and

²²⁷. Grant, A.M., Cavanagh, M.J., Parker, H. M., & Passmore, J. (2010). The state of play in coaching today: A comprehensive review of the field. In G. P. Hodgkinson & J.K. Ford (Eds.), *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (pp. 125-167). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.

²²⁸. Collard, P., & Walsh, J. (2008). Sensory Awareness Mindfulness Training in coaching: Accepting life's challenges. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 26, 30-37; Marianetti, O., & Passmore, J. (2010). Mindfulness at work: Paying attention to enhance well-being and performance. In P. A. Linley, S. Harrington, & N. Garcea (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of positive psychology and work* (pp. 189-200). Oxford University Press; Passmore, J., & Marianetti, O. (2007). The role of mindfulness in coaching. *The Coaching Psychologist*, 3(3), 130-136.

²²⁹. Moran, ACT for leadership, 341-355.

²³⁰. Hayes, multicultural training, 821-835; Luoma, group consultation, 463-469; Varra, A. A., Hayes, S. C., Roget, N., & Fisher, G. (2008). A randomized control trial examining the effect of acceptance and commitment training on clinician willingness to use evidence-based pharmacotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76(3), 449-458.

²³¹. DeValve, M.J., & Quinn, E. (2010). Practical poetry: Thich Nhat Hanh and the cultivation of a problem-oriented officer. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 13(2), 191-205; Hyland, T. (2013). Moral education, mindfulness, and social engagement: Fostering social capital through therapeutic Buddhist practice. *SAGE Open*, 1-9; VanStelle, S., Koerber, J., & Fox, E. (2010). Expansion of OMB: How RFT and ACT can influence our field. *OBM Network News*, 24(3), 11-14.

²³². Hunt, G. (2004) A sense of life: the future of industrial-style health care. *Nursing Ethics*, 11, 189-202.

²³³. DeValve, M.J., & Adkinson, C. D. (2008). Mindfulness, compassion, and the police in America: An essay of hope. *Human Architecture: Journal of the Sociology of Self-knowledge*, 3, 99-104.

concepts to be applied to organisation-level change initiatives remains at the theoretical stage of development at this time.

5.5. Learning, development, and training

There has been discussion in the scholarly literature regarding the potential of mindfulness to improve learning within an organisational context. For example, Yeganeh and Kolb²³⁴ theorised that both meditative mindfulness and socio-cognitive mindfulness may increase learning from experience and describe a number of experiential learning practices for use in organisational settings. The success of workplace training relies on the transfer of the training to novel situations and tasks.²³⁵ Neuroscience research suggests that mindfulness training may induce process-specific learning (i.e., learning that is not specific to the learning task).²³⁶ This raises the possibility that even brief mindfulness training, included as a component of any other training, may assist in the transfer of training to new situations and tasks. Such an approach has been proposed by Borker,²³⁷ who suggested that mindfulness practices can be viewed as a precondition for learning that can enhance other teaching methods and describes how this has been implemented in the teaching of accounting and business at the undergraduate and graduate level. Initial empirical support for this proposition comes from a longitudinal study that examined the relationship between psychological flexibility (i.e., a measure of mindfulness and behavioural activation) and new learning in UK customer service centre employees.²³⁸ These researchers found that psychological flexibility predicted an objective indicator of learning, four weeks after participants had received training on new computer software.

5.6. Organisational and business ethics

²³⁴. Yeganeh, B., & Kolb, D. (2009). Mindfulness and experiential learning. *OD Practitioner*, 41(3), 13-18.

²³⁵. Bransford, J. D., & Schwartz, D. L. (1999). Rethinking transfer: A simple proposal with multiple implications. *Review of Educational Research*, 24, 61–100.

²³⁶. Slagter, H.A., Davidson, R. J., & Lutz, A. (2011). Mental training as a tool in the neuroscientific study of brain and cognitive plasticity. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 5, 1-12.

²³⁷. Borker, D. R. (2013). Mindfulness practices for accounting and business education: A new perspective. *American Journal of Business Education*, 6(1), 41–56.

²³⁸. Bond, F. W., & Flaxman, P. E. (2006). The ability of psychological flexibility and job control to predict learning, job performance, and mental health. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management*, 26(1), 113-130.

A number of organisational scholars have explored ways in which Buddhist thought may contribute to ethical behaviour within contemporary organisations.²³⁹ For example, White²⁴⁰ examined the implications of the Buddhist concept of compassion for influencing the level of ethical behaviour within an organisation. Other scholars have explored how Buddhist ethics may be incorporated into the training of organisational and business leaders.²⁴¹ For example, Lampe²⁴² proposed that mindfulness-based business ethics education may overcome limitations in the standard curriculum and may inculcate a higher level of ethical conduct in business professionals. In addition, several scholars have suggested that one way in which Buddhist principles may be introduced into organisations is in terms of ethics training.²⁴³

5.7. Organisational culture: collaboration, civility and pro-social behaviour

Organisational scholars have begun to examine the potential of mindfulness meditation and other Buddhist concepts and practices to influence behaviours and attitudes in the workplace, at individual, team, and group levels. For example, Marques²⁴⁴ suggested employees who practice Buddhist meditation will be better team members because they are less likely to have selfish agendas. There is some evidence from controlled intervention studies to suggest that mindfulness training may enhance team processes and functioning. Fredrickson and colleagues²⁴⁵ demonstrated that employees of an IT firm who attended a 7-week loving kindness meditation course reported increases in social support and positive close relationship with others. Stanley and colleagues²⁴⁶ developed an MBI designed to enhance interpersonal communication skills and improve unit cohesion and social support in military personnel and reported qualitative data to indicate that the program improved team processes (such as communication, unit cohesion, and awareness of individual strengths and

²³⁹. Nelson, J. A. (2004). A Buddhist and feminist analysis of ethics and business. *Development*, 47(3), 53–60; White, J. (1999). Ethical comportment in organizations: A synthesis of the feminist ethic of care and the Buddhist ethic of compassion. *International Journal of Value-Based Management*, 12(2), 109–128.

²⁴⁰. White, 109–128.

²⁴¹. Gould, S. J. (1995). The Buddhist perspective on Business ethics: Experiential exercises for exploration and practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 14, 16–70; Lampe, M. (2012). Mindfulness-based business ethics education. *Academy of Educational Leadership Journal*, 16(3), 99–111.

²⁴². Lampe, 99–111.

²⁴³. Marques, J. (2012b). Making Buddhism work @ work: The transformation of a religion into a seasoned ethical system. *Journal of Management Development*, 31(6), 537–549.

²⁴⁴. Marques, greater consciousness, 211–225.

²⁴⁵. Fredrickson, open hearts, 1045-1062.

²⁴⁶. Stanley, E. A., & Schaldach, J. M. (2011). Mindfulness-based Mind Fitness Training (MMFT)® *Mind Fitness Training Institute*. Retrieved from www.mind-fitness-training.org/MMFTOverviewNarrative.pdf

weaknesses) and contributed to more effective task delegation and cooperation within the team.

One of the pressing needs identified in the organisational literature is for effecting changes in a range of workplace attitudes and behaviours that are associated with bias and discrimination on the basis of age, gender, and racial background and that is associated with incivility in the workplace.²⁴⁷ There is emerging evidence that mindfulness may assist in the reduction of a range of negative workplace behaviours such as ostracism, which is defined as “ignoring and excluding individuals or groups by individuals or groups”.²⁴⁸ For example, studies with non-work samples suggest that loving kindness meditation can decrease bias toward stigmatized social groups.²⁴⁹ In a study conducted with at-work adults Ramsey and Jones²⁵⁰ reported that a brief MBI produced partial support for notion that mindfulness could decrease the propensity to ostracize others in a sample of North American school teachers.

In addition, a series of studies with the ACT approach provides further evidence that brief mindfulness interventions can reduce racial prejudice and bias in college students²⁵¹ and in workplace settings.²⁵² For example, Hayes and colleagues²⁵³ showed that an ACT workshop reduced stigmatizing attitudes towards mental health clients among a group of drug abuse counsellors.

5.8. Professional skills development

A focus of discussion in the scholarly literature has been on the potential of mindfulness practice to enhance general and profession-specific occupational skills as, for example, negotiation skills in lawyers,²⁵⁴ cognitive, technical and interpersonal skills in

²⁴⁷.Reio, T.G., Jr., & Ghosh, R. (2009). Antecedents and outcomes of workplace incivility: Implications for Human resource development. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 20(3), 237–264.

²⁴⁸.Williams, K. D. (2007). Ostracism.*Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 425–452.

²⁴⁹.Kang, Y., Gray, J. R., &Dovidio, J. F. (2014). The non-discriminating heart: Lovingkindness meditation training decreases implicit intergroup bias. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(3), 1306–1313.

²⁵⁰.Ramsey, A. T., & Jones, E. E. (2015).Minding the interpersonal gap: Mindfulness-based interventions in the prevention of ostracism. *Consciousness and Cognition* 31, 24–34.

²⁵¹.Lillis, J., & Hayes, S. C. (2007).Applying acceptance, mindfulness and values to the reduction of prejudice: a pilot study. *Behavior Modification*, 31, 389–411.

²⁵².Hayes, multicultural training, 821-835.

²⁵³.Hayes, multicultural training, 821-835.

²⁵⁴.Brach, D. (2008). A logic for the magic of mindful negotiation. *Negotiation Journal*, Jan., 25-22; Riskin, L. L. (2002). The contemplative lawyer: On the potential contributions of mindfulness meditation to law students, lawyers, and their clients. *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 7, 1-66; Riskin, L. L. (2004). Mindfulness: Foundational training for dispute resolution. *Journal of Legal Education*, 54(1), 79-90.

physicians,²⁵⁵ empathy in therapists,²⁵⁶ and better communication and decision-making in business professionals.²⁵⁷ While there is empirical evidence to indicate that mindfulness training has a beneficial impact on empathy in health-care professionals,²⁵⁸ other potential effects have yet to be demonstrated in at-work populations.

5.9. A critical summary: quality of evidence

Despite the growing body of empirical evidence to support the use of mindfulness and Buddhist-derived interventions in organisational settings, there are a number of methodological factors that limit the overall validity of the findings from intervention studies. Methodological issues that pertain to studies conducted in organisational settings or with working adults include: (i) an over-reliance on self-report rather than objective measures of outcome; (ii) an emphasis on measures of individual psychological or physiological functioning rather than organisationally-relevant outcomes; (iii) an over-reliance on inactive control conditions that fail to take control for the non-specific factors and group influences on outcomes; (iv) differences in the way in which studies define and operationalize mindfulness; (v) small sample sizes; (vi) lack of follow-up studies; (vii) self-selection of participants; and (viii) variability in what constitutes a mindfulness intervention.²⁵⁹

While the methodological quality of studies may reflect the early stage of development of mindfulness research in organisational settings,²⁶⁰ several of these methodological factors will require attention in future research. First, most studies have used self-report measures of well-being. When interventions studies have included both self-report and physiological measures of stress, these interventions fail to impact physiological measures even though they show changes on self-report measures. For example, Klatt and

²⁵⁵. Epstein, R.M. (2003a). Mindful practice in action (I): Technical competence, evidence-based medicine, and relationship-centred care. *Families, Systems & Health*, 21(1), 1-9; Epstein, R.M. (2003b). Mindful practice in action (II): Cultivating habits of mind. *Families, Systems & Health*, 21(1), 11-17.

²⁵⁶. Germer, mindfulness and psychotherapy.

²⁵⁷. Ucock, O. (2006). Transparency, communication and mindfulness. *Journal of Management Development*, 25(10), 1024-1028.

²⁵⁸. Brown, K. W., Ryan, R. M., & Creswell, D. J. (2007). Mindfulness: Theoretical foundations and evidence for its salutary effects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 18(4), 211-237; Shapiro, S. L., & Carlson, L. E. (2009). *The art and science of mindfulness: Integrating mindfulness into psychology and the helping professions*. Washington, DC: The American Psychological Association.

²⁵⁹. Davidson, R. J. (2010). Empirical explorations of mindfulness: Conceptual and methodological conundrums. *Emotion*, 10(1), 8-11;; Keng, S. -L., Smoski, M. J., & Robins, C. L. (2011). Effects of mindfulness on psychological health: A review of empirical studies. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 31, 1041-1056.

²⁶⁰. Gaudiano, B. A. (2011). A review of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) and recommendations for continued scientific advancement. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice*, 8(2), 5-22.

colleagues²⁶¹ failed to find significant changes in stress when a physiological indicator of stress (salivary cortisol) was used as the outcome measure. Another methodological issue that will require attention is the failure of all but a few studies to measure the effects of interventions on specific work-related outcomes.

A second major area of concern pertains to the characterisation of Buddhist concepts and practices within the psychological and organisational literature. As discussed previously, there are inconsistencies between psychological definitions of mindfulness and traditional and contemporary Buddhist accounts of mindfulness.²⁶² Within the psychological and organisational practice literature, mindfulness meditation (or training) can also refer to any of a wide range of activities, including long-term traditional meditation practices, brief mindfulness inductions, or a number of multi-component intervention packages that include a combination of psychological skills training and techniques derived from traditional mindfulness meditation practices. While all these approaches ostensibly teach mindfulness, there are important differences between them in the way mindfulness is conceptualised and practiced and their effectiveness.²⁶³

²⁶¹. Klatt, mindfulness-based stress reduction, 601-614.

²⁶². As discussed previously: for example, Bodhi (2011), Gethin (2011), and Mikulas (2011).

²⁶³. Brown, evidence for its salutary effects, 211-237; Chiesa, A., & Malinowski, P. (2011). Mindfulness-based approaches: Are they all the same? *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(4), 404-424.

Chapter 6: Further contributions of Buddhist thought

Having reviewed the evidence for the effects of Buddhist practices and concepts on various aspects of organisational functioning, this chapter critically examines the contributions Buddhist thought has made to psychological and organisational theory and practice. It examines the limitations to potential developments for psychological and organisational theory and practice that may result from a less than complete understanding of mindfulness. It then considers potential contributions and influences that emerge from a consideration of mindfulness that is inclusive of its ethical and wisdom aspects and explores how Buddhist teachings may contribute to psychological and organisational theory and practice.

6.1. Limitations of the understanding of Buddhist thought in mainstream scholarly literature

The studies reviewed in this study provide evidence that Buddhist thought has begun to influence mainstream psychological and organisational theory and practice. It has also been argued that despite this growth in interest, Buddhist concepts and practices have been incorporated into secular healthcare and organisational interventions in a selective and partial manner when considered in the light of traditional Buddhist accounts. Mindfulness has been most often characterised as a means for reducing occupational stress or for enhancing concentration and focus in the workplace in the mainstream organisational literature.²⁶⁴ A number of Buddhist and psychological scholars have argued that such understanding of mindfulness falls short of the richness of the concept in traditional Buddhist accounts and thereby limits its potential for contribution to psychological and organisational theory and practice.²⁶⁵ Two examples will be used to illustrate this.

As studies reviewed previously demonstrate, empirical evidence indicates that secular mindfulness training is effective in reducing stress and distress; such findings are frequently invoked to suggest that such mindfulness training achieves the shared aim of Buddhism and psychotherapy (i.e., the elimination of suffering).²⁶⁶ Yet this form of mindfulness training only addresses the most obvious level of suffering (*dukkha-dukkhatā*) and does little to address the more subtle levels of suffering—those due to impermanence or change

²⁶⁴. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 3–24.

²⁶⁵. See for example Mikulas (2011), Purser (2014), and Rapgay&Bystrisky (2009).

²⁶⁶. Monteiro, 91–103.

(*vipariṇāma-dukkha*) and the suffering of conditioned existence (*samkhāra-dukkha*).²⁶⁷ What is required to effect a change at this level of suffering, which the MBIs were not designed to do, is mindfulness training that is able to penetrate the delusion of inherent existence—in other words, mindfulness training that is fully contextualised within its ethical and wisdom aspects.

Organisational scholars argue that the practice of mindfulness will lead to greater wisdom on the part of those who practice it and describe the benefits of such wisdom for the organisation.²⁶⁸ Yet when mindfulness training lacks an explicit focus on ethical development and is restricted to nonjudgmental awareness, the “mechanism” whereby everyday decisions are informed by wisdom is absent. This is because wisdom emerges when an individual, guided by right view and right effort, has gone some way to establishing the conditions for its emergence through abandoning unwholesome states and cultivating wholesome ones.²⁶⁹ The standard forms of mindfulness training used in organisations do not teach this as part of mindfulness training. As a result the sort of wisdom referred to is, at best, a mundane wisdom and not the wisdom that sees the true nature of reality as understood by the Buddhist teachings. What is needed is to move beyond what Rosch²⁷⁰ has called “the usual candidates of rules, skills, or life experience” and to take seriously the idea that there is a more fundamental form of knowing that can lead to greater levels of well-being for the individual and those with whom that individual interacts.

6.2. The potential impact of a more inclusive Buddhist perspective

A number of Buddhist and psychological scholars have argued that Buddhist thought in general can contribute to individual, organisational, and societal well-being. For example, Rosch²⁷¹ suggests Buddhist thought can make a fundamental contribution to scientific psychology particularly in terms of providing a new understanding of the nature of the mind and its capacity. Wallace and Shapiro²⁷² argue that on the basis of its 2,500 year history of cultivating exceptional states of mental well-being, Buddhism has much to offer therapeutic

²⁶⁷. Makransky, 61–74.

²⁶⁸. For example: Weick & Putnam (2006) and Weick & Sutcliffe (2006).

²⁶⁹. Olendzki, A. (2011). The construction of mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12, 55-70.

²⁷⁰. Rosch, E. (2008). Beginner’s mind: Paths to the wisdom that is not learned. In M. Ferrari & G. Potworowski, G. (Eds.) *Teaching for wisdom* (pp. 135 – 162). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

²⁷¹. Rosch, E. (2007). What Buddhist meditation has to tell psychology about the mind. *Anti Matters*, 1(1), 11–21.

²⁷². Wallace, B. A., & Shapiro, S. L. (2006). Mental balance and well-being: Building bridges between Buddhism and western psychology. *American Psychologist*, 61(7), 690–701.

psychology. Similarly, Kristeller²⁷³ argues that Buddhist spirituality may have an important role in therapy in that it enables access to internal resources outside conditioned responses.

With respect to societal benefits, Loy²⁷⁴ argues that Buddhist teachings that pertain to personal transformation are essential for effective changes to be made to structural inequalities, as attempts to make such changes from a mode of thinking that emerges from the functioning of the “three poisons” have not been successful. Similarly, McMahan²⁷⁵ states that Buddhist thought has the potential to “offer new perspectives on some of modernity’s personal, social, political, and environmental ills”.

These scholars all hold that if such benefits are to be realised there is a need to understand Buddhism from its own philosophical epistemological perspective; a critical reflection on our own cultural and historical assumptions and values. This requires a better understanding of Buddhist thought than currently exists among psychological and organisational scholars and practitioners.²⁷⁶ For example, Mikulas²⁷⁷ argues that the “powerful psychology that lies at the heart Buddhism” has significant contributions to many areas of psychology, but in order for this potential to be realised there need to be a greater familiarity with the Buddhist literature. There is a need to better understand Buddhism within its own philosophical and epistemological framework, rather than merely subsume it under the epistemic authority of one form of scientific endeavour; this, in turn, requires a level of openness on the part of psychological and organisational scholars in questioning their own culturally-contingent values and assumptions regarding the nature of knowledge.²⁷⁸

To this end, several have called for an ongoing dialogue between Buddhism and the modern scientific disciplines.²⁷⁹ It is anticipated that such a dialogue may contribute to an “an emerging contemplative science grounded on solid empirical research and reflective inquiry”.²⁸⁰ Such an endeavour will require attention to a broader array of Buddhist concepts and practices beyond that of mindfulness such as conditioned arising (*paṭicca-samuppāda*),

²⁷³. Kristeller, J. L. (2003). Finding the Buddha/Finding the Self: Seeing with the third eye. In S. R. Segall (Ed.), *Encountering Buddhism: Western psychology and Buddhist teachings* (pp. 109–130). Albany, State University of New York.

²⁷⁴. Loy, D. (2013). Why Buddhism and the West need each other: On the interdependence of personal and social transformation. *Journal of Buddhist Ethics*, 20, 401–421.

²⁷⁵. McMahan, Buddhist modernism, 5.

²⁷⁶. Cho, F. (2013). Buddhism and science in the mirror of language. *Religions/Adyan*, 20–28.

²⁷⁷. Mikulas, significant common confusions, 5.

²⁷⁸. Cho, Buddhism and science, 20–28.

²⁷⁹. Segall, R. S. (2003). (Ed.). *Encountering Buddhism: Western psychology and Buddhist teachings*. Albany: State University of New York Press.

²⁸⁰. Kang, a dialogue, 171.

impermanence (*anicca*), and non-self (*anatta*) that are integral to its transformative potential. To date, there is only a small scholarly literature that has addressed such Buddhist concepts in relation to organisational theory and practice and this is likely to reflect a lack of familiarity among mainstream organisational scholars with Buddhist thought.

Perhaps one of the most challenging of these concepts for mainstream psychological and organisational studies is that of *anatta*. With respect to Western psychological thought in general, the dominant idea is that optimal health and functioning results from a strengthening of the individual self, whereas Buddhist thought sees optimal well-being and action resulting from a “deconstruction” of this same sense of self.²⁸¹ Western psychological scholars have also tended to view human nature in a negative light, whereas much Buddhist thought tends to view human nature as benevolent—for example, as fundamentally compassionate.²⁸² Within the organisational and business literature, the dominant view of the person is one of rational self-interest.²⁸³ This contrasts with a view of the person that is informed by a Buddhist perspective; one that sees individuals operating out of an intent to generate positive and healthy outcomes for themselves and for all those who may be impacted by their decisions and actions.²⁸⁴ The *anatta* concept therefore challenges the assumptions and values that inform much psychological and organisational thinking. In this way it can also be used to bring a fresh perspective to the understanding of organisational functioning. For example, Neal²⁸⁵ describes how the *anatta* concept can be used for understanding organisational difficulties that stem from cultural differences among its members.

A number of organisational scholars have drawn on Buddhist concepts to describe different models on how organisations might operate. For example, Duerr²⁸⁶ discusses the value of introducing into workplaces contemplative practices, not only as stress management intervention, but as a core part of the business structure. On the basis of a program of qualitative research, a “contemplative organization” was defined as one that “uses contemplative awareness as organizing principle for the workplace”. Such an organization

²⁸¹ Compton, W. (2012). *Eastern psychology: Buddhism, Hinduism, and Taoism*. Charleston, SC: Create Space.

²⁸² Dalai Lama, H.H. (1995). *The heart of the Buddhist path*. Hammersmith, London: HarperCollins.

²⁸³ Zsolnai, L. (2007). Western economics versus Buddhist economics. *Society and Economy*, 29(2), 145–153.

²⁸⁴ van den Muyzenberg, L. (2014). The contribution of Buddhist wisdom to management development. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 741–750.

²⁸⁵ Neal, M. (2006). *Anatta: Buddhist insights into the paradoxical nature of organizational cultural problems*. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 19(4), 518–528.

²⁸⁶ Duerr, M. (2004). The contemplative organization. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 17(1), 43 – 61.

has a number of characteristics, including a valuing of the process by which employees move towards their goals and a level of non-attachment to outcomes.

Others have drawn on Buddhist concepts to illustrate how an organisation's philosophy may be re-directed from one solely focussed on profit maximisation, to one that recognises and takes a responsibility for their impact on the societies they service. For example, Borden and Shekhawat²⁸⁷ suggest that Buddhist principles, when applied within organizations, can result in a realigning of company philosophy from individual and collective self-interest toward functioning inter-dependently with other organisational members and groups. Similarly, van den Muyzenberg,²⁸⁸ reporting on the conclusions he reached in association with the Dalai Lama, suggests two Buddhist principles in particular—conditioned arising and right view—are useful for leaders of business organisations. He cautions against decisions that are motivated by fear, seeking prestige, or lack of confidence, as these will only lead to wrong actions. In addition, van den Muyzenberg suggests that from the perspective of Buddhist thought, the primary function of an organisation is as a mechanism of the society in which it subsists rather than solely making financial profits.

Other scholars have drawn on several foundational Buddhist concepts to address various aspects of organisational functioning. Johansen & Gopalkrishna²⁸⁹ describe how Buddhist concepts such as the Four Noble Truths and the Noble Eightfold Path are relevant to human resource development professionals, particularly in multinational companies. Atkinson and Duncan²⁹⁰ describe how each of the limbs of the Noble Eightfold Path may enhance organizational leader effectiveness and Bamford²⁹¹ has drawn upon Buddhist principles to devise training designed to help business managers negotiate more ethically and effectively. Kovács²⁹² proposes a new model of management based on the foundations of Buddhist economic principles. Marshall and Simpson²⁹³ apply a Buddhist perspective, based on the concepts of interdependent origination and impermanence, to suggest improvements to the learning networks used by business managers to learn from each other's experience. Rees

²⁸⁷. Borden, M.E., & Shekhawat, P. S. (2010). Buddhist practice and principles and their place in organizations. In S. S. Nandram & M E. Borden (Eds.) *Spirituality and business* (pp. 141–152). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

²⁸⁸. van den Muyzenberg, L. (2011). Leadership the Buddhist way. In L. Zsolnai (Ed.), *Ethical principles and economic transformation* (pp. 167–179). Springer Science+Business Media.

²⁸⁹. Johansen, 337–345.

²⁹⁰. Atkinson, 8–18.

²⁹¹. Bamford, G. (2014). Right Speech as a basis for management training. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 776–785.

²⁹². Kovács, G. (2014). The theoretical foundation of Buddhist management practices. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 751 – 762.

²⁹³. Marshall, 421–432.

and colleagues²⁹⁴ propose that the Buddhist concept of inter-connectedness may provide a new perspective on organisational dynamics that may be particularly relevant in a more globalized world. Vallabh and Singhal²⁹⁵ explore ways in which the concept of Buddhist concept of dependent origination can improve the decision-making process at individual, group and organizational levels in contemporary business organizations.

With respect to mindfulness specifically, it has been argued that the full potential mindfulness is only likely to be realised when it is applied in a manner consonant with its fuller Buddhist context—that is in the context of the development of wisdom, compassion, and ethics.²⁹⁶ In Buddhism, the ultimate function of mindfulness is to facilitate awakening, but this aspect tends to be downplayed or ignored in the mainstream psychological and organisational literature or in specialised applications of mindfulness such as stress reduction. As discussed previously, mindfulness is practiced in association with a range of other practices—called a “matrix of skilful means” by Wallace.²⁹⁷ Moreover, it is just one aspect of the Noble Eightfold Path, and the establishment of right mindfulness (*sammā sati*) entails the successful establishment of other aspects of the path. For mindfulness to be genuinely transformative, in the Buddhist sense, it must operate in conjunction with other path factors such as right view and right effort and be informed by the broader Buddhist teachings.

Purser and Milillo²⁹⁸ have sought to provide a corrective to the partial conceptualisations of mindfulness in the organisational theory and practice literature by describing its functions and purpose as these are understood within Buddhist teachings more broadly. From this perspective, they argue, mindfulness practice offers a “counterbalance to conventional management values”²⁹⁹ when these are focused exclusively on competitiveness, self-interest, and profit. In addition, these authors suggest that a more ethically-contextualised form of mindfulness practice can re-orient organisational attention to the causes and conditions within organisations that may be harmful to members of that organisation and the social and physical environments in which they operate. Finally, they suggest mindfulness practice can lead to organisational activities that act to minimise harm and that are focussed on the creation of genuine well-being for its members, clients, and societies.

²⁹⁴. Rees, 8–10.

²⁹⁵. Vallabh, 763–775.

²⁹⁶. Advocates of this argument include Kang & Whittingham (2010) and Purser & Milillo (2015).

²⁹⁷. Wallace, minding closely.

²⁹⁸. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 3–24.

²⁹⁹. Purser, mindfulness revisited, 16.

Chapter 7: Summary and conclusions

The recent growth of interest in the application of Buddhist concepts and practices within contemporary Western organisations is one of the most recent examples in which Buddhism is being adapted to a new historical and cultural period. In doing so, Buddhism is not only influencing the new culture, but is being itself transformed in the process, with new forms of Buddhist expression emerging. A significant factor influencing the nature of these new forms of expressions is the influence of modernity, in which Buddhism in the West has come to be seen as “a rational, empirical, and therapeutically-oriented tradition compatible with modern science”.³⁰⁰

The main Buddhist concept and practice that has been applied in organisational settings is mindfulness. There is a burgeoning literature, both popular and scientific, and a number of psychological programs that have mindfulness meditation as its central component, or that include mindfulness practices, have been developed and evaluated. The recent application of mindfulness programs to organisational settings followed from their success in the mental health care area. In addition to mindfulness, there is also scholarly interest in other Buddhist concepts and practices, such as compassion and loving kindness, but the scholarly literature on these is still small. Furthermore, the term “mindfulness”, as it is represented in the work and organisational literature is not a unitary construct, and can refer not only to the Buddhist concept but to constructs that have their origin in unrelated streams of thought.

The way mindfulness has been understood in the psychological and organisational theory and practice literature diverges from traditional Buddhist accounts of mindfulness and its functions. Buddhist scholars have taken issue with the characterization of mindfulness as “bare attention, and “non-judgemental awareness” and have demonstrated how these characterizations fail to capture the meaning and import of mindfulness as it is understood in classical textual sources. Importantly, these scholars have argued that defining mindfulness in such a partial way has obscured its relationship to ethical functioning and its role in the development of wisdom. Such a partial understanding, the critics argue, will not lead to the transformation that will result in liberation from suffering. In addition, several commentators have expressed a concern that the mischaracterization of mindfulness as a stress reduction

³⁰⁰.Sharf, 3.

and attention enhancement technique, separated from ethics and wisdom, can allow mindfulness training to be used in ways that are harmful to themselves and others.

These issues notwithstanding, there is a rapidly growing body of empirical evidence to indicate that short-term secularized mindfulness programs have beneficial effects on a wide range of mental health issues and well-being outcomes.³⁰¹ The emerging evidence from intervention studies conducted within organisational settings demonstrates that such short-term interventions, even lacking an emphasis on the ethical aspects, consistently result in reductions in stress and psychological distress. There is less evidence at this time for the benefits of mindfulness and other Buddhist-derived interventions on leadership and performance enhancement; many of the claims regarding the beneficial effects of Buddhist practices on organisational outcomes appear to be premature or unwarranted on the basis of currently available scientific evidence. Moreover, the majority of studies have methodological limitations that serve to limit the validity of their findings.

Just as Buddhist concepts and practices have been adapted for use in secular and organisational settings, so too is there evidence that Buddhist thought is influencing mainstream psychological and organisational thought and practice. The main contribution of Buddhist thought to organisational functioning is evidenced by the fact that one of its main meditative practices (i.e., mindfulness) is being used as key component of treatment programs for a wide range of mental health difficulties and is becoming a standard practice in many organisations for the reduction of stress and other occupational mental health issues as well as the enhancement of various skills such as attention and concentration.

At the same time, the account of mindfulness in the mainstream organisational literature, because it is less than complete in comparison to traditional Buddhist accounts, may limit the potential benefits of mindfulness as an intervention and as a theoretical account that can inform psychological and organisational theory and practice. When the relationship of mindfulness to ethics and wisdom is ignored occurs, the critics argue, mindfulness is reduced to a technique for the management of stress and enhancement of concentration and focus. What is required is a fuller account of mindfulness—one that includes the development of ethics and wisdom—and a greater familiarity with the broader Buddhist teachings. A more fully contextualised mindfulness can make significant contributions to the well-being of individuals, organisations, and societies. There is evidence that organisational scholars have

³⁰¹. See Brown et al. (2007).

sought to incorporate such a fuller account of mindfulness, and to apply other Buddhist concepts and practices in a way that is consonant with Buddhist teachings, yet the literature base is currently small.

It is clear that Buddhist thought, whether in a restricted or expansive sense, will continue to exercise influence on psychological and organisational thought and practice. One of the most recent interactions between Buddhist and Western thought is currently being played out in psychological and organisational scholarly literatures. This interaction can be characterised as the interplay between continuity and innovation, or “tradition” and “modernity”. Buddhist thought has influenced many spheres of western secular life, but has itself been changed by this process, giving rise to a diversity of new expressions. The literature reviewed in the present study indicates that Buddhist thought has many potential contributions to make for individuals, organisations, and societies; yet these likely to come from neither a wholesale rejection of the traditional aspects of Buddhist thought and practice or an uncritical acceptance of modernistic values and assumptions. A better understanding of Buddhist thought than currently exists among psychological and organisational scholars and practitioners can result from increased dialogue between Buddhist and Western scholars.

Bibliography

- Analayo (2003). *Satipaṭṭhāna: The direct path to realization*. Birmingham: Windhorse.
- Analayo (2012). The dynamics of Theravāda insight meditation. In Kuo-pin Chuang (Ed.), *Buddhist meditation traditions: An international symposium* (pp. 23–56). Taiwan: Dharma Drum Publishing Corporation.
- Analayo.(2013). *Perspectives on Satipaṭṭhāna*. Cambridge, UK: Windhorse Publications.
- Andersson, L. M., & Pearson, C. M. (1999). Tit for tat? The spiralling effect of incivility in the workplace. *Academy of Management Review*, 24, 452–471.
- Atkins, P. W. B., & Parker, S. K. (2012). Understanding individual compassion in organizations: The role of appraisals and psychological flexibility. *Academy of Management review*, 37(4), 524–546.
- Atkinson, L., & Duncan, J. L. (2013). Eight Buddhist methods for leaders. *Journal of Organizational Learning and Leadership*, 11(2), 8–18.
- Avolio, B. J., Reichard, R. J., Hannah, S. T., Walumbwa, F. O., & Chan, A. (2009). A meta-analytic review of leadership impact research: Experimental and quasi-experimental studies. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20, 764–784.
- Baer, R. A. (Ed.). (2006). *Mindfulness-based treatment approaches: Clinician's guide to evidence base and applications*. London: Academic Press.
- Baer, R. A. (2011). Measuring mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 241–261.
- Baer, R. A., & Krietemeyer, J. (2006). Overview of mindfulness- and acceptance-based treatment approaches. In R. A. Baer (Ed.), *Mindfulness-based treatment approaches: Clinician's guide to evidence base and applications* (pp. 3–27). London: Academic Press.
- Baer, R. A., & Lykins, E. L. B. (2011). *Mindfulness and positive psychological functioning*. In K. M. Sheldon, T. B. Kashdan, & M. F. Steger (Eds.), *Designing positive psychology: Taking stock and moving forward* (pp. 335–348). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bamford, G. (2014). *Right Speech as a basis for management training*. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 776–785.
- Bankart, C. P. (2004). *Five manifestations of the Buddha in the West*. In K. H. Dockett & G. R. Dudley-Grant (Eds.), *Psychology and Buddhism: From individual to global community* (pp. 45–69). Springer.
- Barker, M., & Rocha, C. (2011). *Introduction*. In C. Rocha & M. Barker (Eds.), *Buddhism in Australia: Traditions in change* (pp. 1–19). New York: Routledge.
- Barry-Craig, P. J., & Gopalakrishna, D. (2006). *A Buddhist view of adult learning in the workplace*. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 8(3), 337–345.
- Bjurström, E. (2012). *Minding the contexts of mindfulness in quality management*. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 29(6), 699–713.

- Blonna, R. (2010). *Maximize your coaching effectiveness with acceptance & commitment therapy*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger.
- Bodhi, B. (1998). *The noble eightfold path: Way to the end of suffering*. Kandy, Sri Lanka: Buddhist Publication Society.
- Bodhi, B. (Ed.). (1999). *A comprehensive manual of Abhidhamma: The AbhidhammatthaSangaha of AcariyaAnuruddha*. Seattle: BPS Pariyatti Editions.
- Bodhi, B. (2000). *The Connected Discourses of the Buddha: A new translation of the Samyutta Nikāya*. Boston: Wisdom Publications.
- Bodhi, B. (2011). What does mindfulness really mean? A canonical perspective. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 19-39.
- Bond, F. W., & Bunce, D. (2000). Mediators of change in emotion-focused and problem-focused worksite stress management interventions. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(1), 156-163.
- Bond, F. W., & Flaxman, P. E. (2006). The ability of psychological flexibility and job control to predict learning, job performance, and mental health. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management*, 26(1), 113-130.
- Borden, M.E., & Shekhawat, P. S. (2010). Buddhist practice and principles and their place in organizations. In S. S. Nandram & M E. Borden (Eds.) *Spirituality and business* (pp. 141–152). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Borker, D. R. (2013). Mindfulness practices for accounting and business education: A new perspective. *American Journal of Business Education*, 6(1), 41–56.
- Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2006). Inspiring others through resonant leadership. *Business Strategy Review, Summer*, 15-18.
- Boyatzis, R., & Yeganeh, B. (2012). Mindfulness: Do you tune in or out?
www.LeaderExcel.com
- Boyce, B. (Ed.). (2011). *The mindfulness revolution*. Boston: Shambhala.
- Brach, D. (2008). A logic for the magic of mindful negotiation. *Negotiation Journal, Jan.*, 25-22.
- Bransford, J. D., & Schwartz, D. L. (1999). Rethinking transfer: A simple proposal with multiple implications. *Review of Educational Research*, 24, 61–100.
- Brazier, D. (2013). Mindfulness reconsidered. *European Journal of Psychotherapy & Counseling*, 15, 116-126.
- Brinkborg, H., Michanek, J., Hesser, H., & Berglund, G. (2011). Acceptance and commitment therapy for the treatment of stress among social workers: A randomized controlled trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49(6-7), 389–398.
- Brown, D. (2009). Mastery of the mind East and West: Excellence in being and doing and everyday happiness. *Longevity, Regeneration, and Optimal Health: Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1172: 231–251. doi: 10.1196/annals.1393.018 C _ 2009

- Brown, K. W., Ryan, R. M., & Creswell, D. J. (2007). Mindfulness: Theoretical foundations and evidence for its salutary effects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 18(4), 211-237.
- Buddhaghosa, B. (1976). *The path of purification (Visuddhimagga)*, volume two. Berkeley: Shambhala Publications.
- Cahn, B. R., Delorme, A., & Polich, J. (2010). Occipital gamma activation during Vipassana meditation. *Cognitive Processing*, 11, 39–56. doi:10.1007/s10339-009-0352-1
- Carroll, M. (2004). *Awake at work: 35 practical Buddhist principles for discovering clarity and balance in the midst of work's chaos*. Boston: Shambhala.
- Carroll, M. (2007). *The mindful leader: Ten principles for bringing out the best in ourselves and others*. New York: Trumpeter.
- Carson, S., & Langer, E. (2004). Mindful practice for clinicians and patients. In L. Haas (Ed.), *Handbook of primary care psychology* (pp. 173–186). London: Oxford.
- Chaskalson, M. (2011). *The mindful workplace: Developing resilient individuals and resonant organisations with MBSR*. UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Chiesa, A. (2013). The difficulty of defining mindfulness: Current thought and critical issues. *Mindfulness* 4, 255–268.
- Chiesa, A., & Malinowski, P. (2011). Mindfulness-based approaches: Are they all the same? *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(4), 404-424.
- Cho, F. (2013). Buddhism and science in the mirror of language. *Religions/Adyan*, 20–28.
- Cohen-Katz, J., Wiley, S. D., Capuano, T., Baker, D. M., & Shapiro, S. (2005). The effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on nurse stress and burnout, part II: A quantitative and qualitative study. *Holistic Nursing Practice*, 19, 26-35.
- Cohn, M. A., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2010). In search of durable positive psychology interventions: Predictors and consequences of long-term positive behavior change. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 5(5), 355–366.
- Coleman, J. (2001). *The new Buddhism: The western transformation of an ancient tradition*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Collard, P., & Walsh, J. (2008). Sensory Awareness Mindfulness Training in coaching: Accepting life's challenges. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 26, 30–37.
- Cooper, H. (1998). *Synthesizing research: A guide for literature reviews*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Compton, W. (2012). *Eastern psychology: Buddhism, Hinduism, and Taoism*. Charleston, SC: Create Space.
- Dahl, J., Wilson, K. G., & Nilsson, A. (2004). Acceptance and commitment therapy and the treatment of persons at risk for long-term disability resulting from stress and pain symptoms: A preliminary randomized trial. *Behaviour Therapy*, 35, 785-801.

- Dalai Lama, H.H. (1995). *The heart of the Buddhist path*. Hammersmith, London: Harper Collins.
- Dane, E. (2011). Paying attention to mindfulness and its effects on task performance in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 997-1018.
- Davidson, R. J. (2010). Empirical explorations of mindfulness: Conceptual and methodological conundrums. *Emotion*, 10(1), 8-11.
- Davidson, R.J., Dunne, J., Eccles, J. S., Engle, A., Greenberg, M., Jennings, P., et al. (2012). Contemplative practices and mental training: Prospects for American Education. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6(2), 146–153. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-8606.2012.00240.x
- Davidson, R. J., & Harrington, A. (Eds.) (2002). *Visions of compassion: Western scientists and Tibetan Buddhists examine human nature*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Davidson, R.J., & Lutz, A. (September, 2007). Buddha's brain: Neuroplasticity and meditation. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 172-174.
- Davidson, R. J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S. F., et al. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65, 564-570.
- Davidson, R. J., & McEwen, B. S. (2012). Social influences on neuroplasticity: Stress and interventions to promote well-being. *Nature Neuroscience*, 15(5), 689–695.
- Davis, J. H., & Thompson, E. (2014). From the five aggregates to phenomenal consciousness: Towards a cross-cultural cognitivescience. In S. M. Emmanuel (Ed.), *A companion to Buddhist philosophy* (pp. 585-598). New York, NY: John Wiley.
- Della Santina, P. (1997). *The tree of enlightenment: An introduction to the major traditions of Buddhism*. Chico Dharma Study Foundation.
- DeValve, M.J., & Adkinson, C. D. (2008). Mindfulness, compassion, and the police in America: An essay of hope. *Human Architecture: Journal of the Sociology of Self-knowledge*, 3, 99-104.
- De Valve, M.J., & Quinn, E. (2010). Practical poetry: Thich Nhat Hanh and the cultivation of a problem-oriented officer. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 13(2), 191–205.
- de Vibe, M., Bjørndal, A., Tipton, E., Hammerstrøm, K. T., & Kowalski, K. (2012). Mindfulness based stress reduction (MBSR) for improving health, quality of life, and social functioning in adults. *The Campbell Collaboration*, 3. doi:10.4073/csr.2012.3.
- Dhiman, S. (2008). Cultivating mindfulness: The Buddhist art of paying attention to attention. *Interbeing*, 2(2), 35-52.
- Dhiman, S. (2009). Mindfulness in life and leadership: An exploratory survey. *Interbeing*, 3(1), 55-80.
- Didonna, F. (Ed.).(2009). *Clinical handbook of mindfulness*. New York: Springer.
- Dorjee, D. (2014). *Mind, brain and the path to happiness: A guide to Buddhist mind training and the neuroscience of meditation*. New York: Routledge.

- Dreyfus, G. (2011). Is mindfulness present-centred and non-judgmental? A discussion of the cognitive dimensions of mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 41-54.
- Dryden, W., & Still, A. (2006). Historical aspects of mindfulness and self-acceptance in psychotherapy. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 24(1), 3–28. doi:10.1007/s10942-006-0026-1
- Duerr, M. (2004). The contemplative organization. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 17(1), 43 – 61.
- Eaton, J. (2013). American Buddhism: Beyond the search for innerpeace—An interview with Ve. Bhikkhu Bodhi on Buddhism as a force for social justice. Retrieved from http://www.religiondispatches.org/archive/atheologies/6784/american_buddhism__beyond_the_search_for_inner_peace/ (p. 2)
- Eberth, J., & Sedlmeier, P. (2012). The effects of mindfulness meditation: A meta-analysis. *Mindfulness*, 3, 174–189. doi:10.1007/s12671-012-0101-x
- Edelglass, W., & Garfield, J. L. (2009). (Eds.). *Buddhist philosophy: Essential readings*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Epstein, R. M. (1999). Mindful practice. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 282, 833-839.
- Epstein, R.M. (2003a). Mindful practice in action (I): Technical competence, evidence-based medicine, and relationship-centered care. *Families, Systems & Health*, 21(1), 1-9.
- Epstein, R.M. (2003b). Mindful practice in action (II): Cultivating habits of mind. *Families, Systems & Health*, 21(1), 11-17.
- Fitz Patrick, S. (2005). Open-ended tangled hierarchies: Zen koans and paradox in public administration. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 28(11-12), 957-971.
- Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy in the workplace. In R. A. Baer (Ed.), *Mindfulness-based treatment approaches: Clinician's guide to evidence base and applications* (pp. 377-402). San Diego, CA: Elsevier.
- Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010a). Acceptance and commitment training: Promoting psychological flexibility in the workplace. In R. A. Baer, (Ed.), *Assessing mindfulness & acceptance processes in clients: Illuminating the theory & practice of change* (pp. 281-306). Oakland, CA: New Harbinger.
- Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010b). A randomised worksite comparison of acceptance commitment therapy and stress inoculation training. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48(8), 816-820.
- Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. W. (2010c). Worksite stress management training: Moderated effects and clinical significance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 15, 347-358.
- Flaxman, P.E., Bond, F. W., Livheim, F. (2013). *The mindful and effective employee: An acceptance and commitment therapy training manual for improving well-being and performance*. New Harbinger Publications.

- Fletcher, L., & Hayes, S. C. (2005). Relational Frame Theory, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, and a functional analytic definition of mindfulness. *Journal of Rational-Emotive and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy*, 23(4), 315-336.
- Forbes, D. (2012). Occupy mindfulness. Retrieved from <http://beamsandstruts.com/articles/item/982-occupy-mindfulness>
- Fredrickson, B. L., Cohn, M. A., Coffey, K. A., Pek, J., & Finkel, S. M. (2008). Open hearts build lives: Positive emotions, induced through loving-kindness meditation, build consequential personal resources. *Journal of Personal and Social Psychology*, 95, 1045-1062.
- Fries, M. (2009). Mindfulness based stress reduction for the changing work environment. *Journal of Academic and Business Ethics*, 2, 1-10.
- Fung, K. (2014). Acceptance and commitment therapy: Western adoption of Buddhist tenets? *Transcultural Psychiatry*. doi: 10.1177/1363461514537544
- Gabruch, D. (2014). Compassionate leadership: A model for organizational well-being in the workplace. Unpublished thesis.
- Gaudiano, B. A. (2011). A review of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) and recommendations for continued scientific advancement. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice*, 8(2), 5-22.
- Geary, C., & Rosenthal, S. L. (2011). Sustained impact of MBSR on stress, well-being, and daily spiritual experiences for 1 year in academic health care employees. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 17(10), 939-944.
- Gelles, D. (2012). The mind business. Retrieved from <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/d9cb7940-ebea-11e1-985a00144feab49a.html#axzz2MuOcUpfA>
- Germer, C. K. (2009). *The mindful path to self-compassion: Freeing yourself from destructive thoughts and emotions*. New York: Guilford.
- Germer, C. K., Siegel, R. D., & Fulton, P. R. (Eds.). (2005). *Mindfulness and psychotherapy*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Gethin, R. (1998). *The foundations of Buddhism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gethin, R. (2011). On some definitions of mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12, 263–279.
- Giacalone, R., & Jurkiewicz, C. (2003). Toward a science of workplace spirituality. In R. Giacalone & C. Jurkiewicz, C. (Eds.), *Handbook of workplace spirituality and organizational performance* (pp. 3–28). New York: M E Sharp.
- Gilbert, P. (2009). Introducing compassion-focused therapy. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 15, 199–208. doi:10.1192/apt.bp.107.005264
- Gilpin, R. (2008). The use of Theravāda Buddhist practices and perspectives in mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 9(2), 227-251.

- Gockel, A. (2004). The trend toward spirituality in the workplace: Overview and implications for career counselling. *Journal of Employment Counseling*, 41(4), 156–167.
- Goldstein, E. (2013). Beyond Mc Mindfulness: Throwing the baby out with the bathwater. Psych Central. Retrieved from <http://blogs.psychcentral.com/mindfulness/2013/07/beyond-mcmindfulnessthrowing-the-baby-out-with-the-bathwater/>
- Goleman, D. (2011). *The brain and emotional intelligence: New insights*. Northampton, MA: More than Sound LLC.
- Gombrich, R. (2009). *What the Buddha thought*. London: Equinox.
- Gould, S. J. (1995). The Buddhist perspective on Business ethics: Experiential exercises for exploration and practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 14, 16–70.
- Grant, A.M., Cavanagh, M.J., Parker, H. M., & Passmore, J. (2010). The state of play in coaching today: A comprehensive review of the field. In G. P. Hodgkinson & J.K. Ford (Eds.), *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (pp. 125-167). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Grossman, P. (2008). On measuring mindfulness in psychosomatic and psychological research. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 64, 405-408.
- Grossman, P., & Van Dam, N. T. (2011). Mindfulness, by any other name...: Trials and tribulations of *sati* in western psychology and science. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 219-239.
- Guadiano, B. A. (2011). A review of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) and recommendations for continued scientific advancement. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice*, 8(2), 5-22.
- Gunaratana, B. H. (2002). *Mindfulness in plain English*. Boston: Wisdom Publications.
- Gyatso, T., & van den Muijzenberg, L. (2008). *The leader's way*. Sydney, Australia: Nicholas Brealey Publishing Ltd.
- Hahn, T. N. (2007). *For a future to be possible: Buddhist ethics for everyday life*. Berkeley: Parallax Press.
- Halafoff, A., Fitzpatrick, R., Lam, K. (2012). Buddhism in Australia: An emerging field of study. *Journal of Global Buddhism*, 13, 9-25.
- Harvey, P. (2000). *An introduction to Buddhist ethics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Harvey, P. (2012). Theravada Abhidhamma as a guide to mindful exploration of mental qualities. *Middle Way*, 87(2), 123-130.
- Harvey, P. (2013). *An introduction to Buddhism: Teachings, history and practices* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hayes, S. C. (2004). Acceptance and commitment therapy, relational frame theory, and the third wave of behavioral and cognitive therapies. *Behavior Therapy*, 35, 639-665.

- Hayes, S. C., Bissett, R., Roget, N., Padilla, M., Kohlenberg, B. S., Fisher, G., et al. (2004). The impact of acceptance and commitment training and multicultural training on the stigmatizing attitudes and professional burnout of substance abuse counselors. *Behavior Therapy, 35*, 821-835.
- Hayes, S.C, Strosahl, K.D., & Wilson, K.G. (2012). *Acceptance and commitment therapy: The process and practice of mindful change* (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K. D., Wilson, K. G., Bissett, R. T., Pistorello, J., Toarmino, D., et al. (2004). Measuring experiential avoidance: A preliminary test of a working model. *The Psychological Record, 54*, 553-578.
- Hayes, S. C., Villatte, M., Levin, M., & Hildebrandt, M. (2011). Open, aware, and active: Contextual approaches as an emerging trend in the behavioral and cognitive therapies. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 7*, 141-168.
- Hede, A. (2010). The dynamics of mindfulness in managing emotions and stress. *Journal of Management Development, 29*(1), 94-110.
- Hofmann, S. G. (2008). Acceptance and commitment therapy: New wave or Morita therapy? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 15*(4), 280–285.
- Hofmann, S. G., Grossman, P., & Hinton, D. E. (2011). Loving kindness and compassion meditation: Potential for psychological interventions. *Clinical Psychology Review, 31*, 1126–1132. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.07.003
- Hunt, G. (2004) A sense of life: the future of industrial-style health care. *Nursing Ethics, 11*, 189-202.
- Hyland, T. (2011). Mindfulness, therapy and vocational values: exploring the moral and aesthetic dimensions of vocational education and training. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training, 63*(2), 129-141.
- Hyland, T. (2013). Moral education, mindfulness, and social engagement: Fostering social capital through therapeutic Buddhist practice. *SAGE Open, 1–9*.
- Ireland, M. (2012). Meditation and psychological health and functioning. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice, 9*, 4-19.
- Jacobs, B., & Nagel, L. (2003-2004). The impact of a brief mindfulness-based stress reduction program on perceived quality of life. *International Journal of Self-help & Self-care, 2*, 155-168.
- Jha, A. P., Krompinger, J., & Baime, M. J. (2007). Mindfulness training modifies subsystems of attention. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience, 7*, 109-119.
- Johansen, B.P., Gopalakrishna, D. (2006). A Buddhist view of adult learning in the workplace. *Advances in Developing Human Resources, 8*(3), 337–345.
- Johnson, D. P., Penn, D. L., Fredrickson, B. L., Meyer, P. S., Kring, A. M., & Brantley, M. (2009). Loving kindness to enhance recovery from negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 65*, 499–509.

- Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain and illness*. New York: Delacourt.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 10*, 144-156.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2010). Foreword. In D. Mc Cown, D. Reibel, & M.S. Micozzi (Eds.), *Teaching mindfulness: A practical guide for clinicians and educators* (pp. ix–xxii). New York: Springer.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2011). Some reflections on the origins of MBSR, skilful means, and the trouble with maps. *Contemporary Buddhism, 12*, 281-306.
- Kang, C., &Whittingham, K. (2010). Mindfulness: A dialogue between Buddhism and clinical psychology. *Mindfulness, 1*, 161–173.
- Kang, Y., Gray, J. R., &Dovidio, J. F. (2014). The nondiscriminating heart: Loving kindness meditation training decreases implicit intergroup bias. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 143*(3), 1306–1313.
- Kanov, J. M., Maitlis, S., Worline, M. C, Dutton, J. E., Peter, J. F., & Jacoba, L. (2004). Compassion in organizational life. *American Behavioral Scientist, 47*, 808-827.
- Kapleau, P. (1989/2000). *The three pillars of Zen: Teaching, practice, and enlightenment* (rev.). New York: Anchor.
- Kawamura, L. S. (2004). Bodhisattva(s). In R. E. Buswell (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of Buddhism* (pp. 58–60). MacMillan Reference USA.
- Kemenyi, M. E., Foltz, C., Cavanagh, J. F., Cullen, M., Giese-Davis, J., Jennings, P., et al. (2012). Contemplative/emotion training reduces negative emotional behaviour and promotes prosocial responses. *Emotion, 12*(2), 338–350.
- Keng, S. –L., Smoski, M. J., & Robins, C. L. (2011). Effects of mindfulness on psychological health: A review of empirical studies. *Clinical Psychology Review, 31*, 1041-1056.
- Klatt, M. D., Buckworth, J., & Malarkey, W. B. (2009). Effects of a low-dose mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR-ld) on working adults. *Health Education & Behavior, 36*(3), 601-614.
- Kovács, G. (2014). The theoretical foundation of Buddhist management practices. *Journal of Management Development, 33*(8/9), 751 – 762.
- Kuan, T. (2008). *Mindfulness in early Buddhism: New approaches through psychology and textual analysis of Pali, Chinese, and Sanskrit sources*. London: Routledge.
- Kuan, T. (2012). Cognitive operations in Buddhist meditation: Interface with Western psychology. *Contemporary Buddhism: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 13*(1), 35-60.
- Krasner, M. S., Epstein, R.M., Beckman, H., Suchman, A. L., Chapman, B., Mooney, C. J., & Quill, T. E. (2009). Association of an educational program in mindful communication with burnout, empathy, and attitudes among primary care physicians. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 302*, 1284–1293.

- Kristeller, J. L. (2003). Finding the Buddha/Finding the Self: Seeing with the third eye. In S. R. Segall (Ed.), *Encountering Buddhism: Western psychology and Buddhist teachings* (pp. 109–130). Albany, State University of New York.
- Kwee, M. G. T. (Ed.). (2010). *New horizons in Buddhist psychology: Relational Buddhism for collaborative practitioners*. Chagrin Falls, Ohio: Taos Institute.
- Kwee, M. G. T., Gergen, K. J., & Koshikawa, F. (Eds.). (2006). *Horizons in Buddhist psychology: Practice, research & theory*. Chagrin Falls, Ohio: Taos Institute.
- Lampe, M. (2012). Mindfulness-based business ethics education. *Academy of Educational Leadership Journal*, 16(3), 99–111.
- Langer, E. J. (1989). *Mindfulness*. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.
- Leighton, T. D. (2004). Introduction: Hongzhi, Dogen, and the background of shikantaza. In J. D. Looi, J. D. (Ed.) *The art of just sitting: Essential writings on the Zen practice of shikantaza* (2nd ed.). Somerville, Massachusetts: Wisdom Publications.
- Lesser, M. (2005). *Z.B.A.: Zen of Business Administration - How Zen practice can transform your work and your life*. New World Library.
- Lillis, J., & Hayes, S. C. (2007). Applying acceptance, mindfulness and values to the reduction of prejudice: a pilot study. *Behavior Modification*, 31, 389–411.
- Looi, J. D. (Ed.) (2004). *The art of just sitting: Essential writings on the Zen practice of shikantaza* (2nd ed.). Somerville, Massachusetts: Wisdom Publications.
- Lopez, D. S., Jr. (2012). *The scientific Buddha: His short and happy life*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Low, A., & Purser, R. (2012). Zen and the creative management of dilemmas. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 9(4), 335–355.
- Loy, D. (2013). Why Buddhism and the West need each other: On the interdependence of personal and social transformation. *Journal of Buddhist Ethics*, 20, 401–421.
- Luoma, J. B., Hayes, S. C., Twohig, M. P., Roget, N., Fisher, G., Padilla, M., et al. (2007). Augmenting continuing education with psychologically focused group consultation: Effects on adoption of group drug counseling. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 44(4), 463–469.
- Mackenzie, C. S., Poulin, P. A., & Seidman-Carlson, R. (2006). A brief mindfulness-based stress reduction intervention for nurses and nurse aides. *Applied Nursing Research*, 19, 105–109.
- Makransky, J. (2012). Compassion in Buddhist psychology. In C. K. Germer & R. D. Siegel (Eds.), *Wisdom and compassion in psychotherapy* (pp. 61–74). New York: Guilford.
- Marianetti, O., & Passmore, J. (2010). Mindfulness at work: Paying attention to enhance well-being and performance. In P. A. Linley, S. Harrington, & N. Garcea (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of positive psychology and work* (pp. 189–200). Oxford University Press.

- Marshall, N., & Simpson, B. (2014). Learning networks and the practice of wisdom. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 23(4) 421–432.
- Marturano, J. (2014). *Finding the space to lead: A practical guide to mindful leadership*. Bloomsbury Press.
- Marques, J. (2007). *The awakened leader: One simple leadership style that works every time, everywhere*. Fawnskin, CA: Personhood Press.
- Marques, J. (2008). Toward higher consciousness: A time for “US”. *Interbeing*, 2(1), 33–41.
- Marques, J. (2010). Toward greater consciousness in the 21st century workplace: How Buddhist practices fit in. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 211–225.
- Marques, J. (2012a). Consciousness at work: A review of some important values, discussed from a Buddhist perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 105, 27–40.
- Marques, J. (2012b). Making Buddhism work @ work: The transformation of a religion into a seasoned ethical system. *Journal of Management Development*, 31(6), 537–549.
- McMahan, D. (2004). Modernity and the early discourse of scientific Buddhism. *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, 72(4), 897 – 933.
- McMahan, D. (2008). *The making of Buddhist modernism*. NY: Oxford University Press.
- Mikulas, W. L. (2011). Mindfulness: Significant common confusions. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 2(1), 1-7.
- Monteiro, L. M., Nuttall, S., & Musten, R. F. (2010). Five skillful habits: An ethics-based mindfulness intervention. *Counselling and Spirituality*, 29(1), 91–103.
- Moran, D. J. (2010). ACT for leadership: Using acceptance and commitment training to develop crisis-resilient change managers. *International Journal of Behavioral Consultation and Therapy*, 6(4), 341-355.
- Nakai, P., & Schultz, R. (2000). *The mindful corporation: Liberating the human spirit at work*. Long Beach, California: Leadership Press.
- NaradaMahaThera. (2010). *The Buddha and His Teaching* (3rd ed.). Sri Lanka, Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society.
- Neal, M. (2006). Anatta: Buddhist insights into the paradoxical nature of organizational cultural problems. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 19(4), 518-528.
- Nelson, J. A. (2004). *A Buddhist and feminist analysis of ethics and business*. *Development*, 47(3), 53–60.
- Nyanaponika, T. (1962). *The heart of Buddhist meditation*. London: Rider and Co.
- Nyanatiloka, T. (1988). *Buddhist Dictionary: Manual of Buddhist terms and doctrines* (4th ed., rev.). Kandy, Sri Lanka: Buddhist Publications Society.
- Olendzki, A. (2010). *Unlimiting mind: The radically experiential psychology of Buddhism*. Boston: Wisdom Publications.

- Olendzki, A. (2011). The construction of mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12, 55-70.
- Pace, T. W. W., Negi, L. T., Adame, D. D., Cole, S. P., Sivilli, T. I., Brown, T. D., . . . Raison, C. L. (2009). Effect of compassion meditation on neuroendocrine, innate immune and behavioral responses to psychosocial stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 34, 87–98. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.08.011
- Passmore, J., & Marianetti, O. (2007). The role of mindfulness in coaching. *The Coaching Psychologist*, 3(3), 130-136.
- Pipe, T., Bortz, J.J., Dueck, A., Pendergast, D., Buchda, V., & Summers, J. (2009). Nurse leader mindfulness meditation program for stress management: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 39(3), 130-137.
- Poulin, P. A., Mackenzie, C. S., Soloway, G., & Karayolas, E. (2008). Mindfulness training as an evidence-based approach to reducing stress and promoting well-being among human service professionals. *International Journal of Health Promotion & Education*, 46, 35-43.
- Purser, R. (2104). The myth of the present moment. *Mindfulness*. doi 10.1007/s12671-014-0333-z
- Purser, R.E., & Milillo, J. (2015). Mindfulness revisited: A Buddhist-based conceptualization. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 24(1), 3–24.
- Prebish, C.S. (2012). *Looking West: A primer for American Buddhism*. Amazon Kindle Edition.
- Prebish, C.S., & Baumann, M. (Eds.). (2002). *Westward dharma: Buddhism beyond Asia*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Rahula, W. (1990). *What the Buddha taught*. London: Wisdom Books.
- Ramsey, A. T., & Jones, E. E. (2015). Minding the interpersonal gap: Mindfulness-based interventions in the prevention of ostracism. *Consciousness and Cognition* 31, 24–34.
- Rapgay, L., & Bystrisky, A. (2009). Classical mindfulness: An introduction to its theory and practice for clinical application. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1172, 148-162.
- Ray, R.A. (2012). *Indestructible truth: The living spirituality of Tibetan Buddhism*. Boston: Shambhala.
- Rees, B, Dh, A., & Dh, D. (2002). Organising realities: A Buddhist perspective. *Management Research News*, 25, 8-10.
- Reio, T.G., Jr., & Ghosh, R. (2009). Antecedents and outcomes of workplace incivility: Implications for Human resource development. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 20(3), 237–264.
- Riskin, L. L. (2002). The contemplative lawyer: On the potential contributions of mindfulness meditation to law students, lawyers, and their clients. *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 7, 1-66.

- Riskin, L. L. (2004). Mindfulness: Foundational training for dispute resolution. *Journal of Legal Education*, 54(1), 79-90.
- Robinson, R. H., Johnson, W. L., & Bhikku, T. (2004). *Buddhist religions: A historical introduction* (5th ed.). Australia: Thomson-Wadsworth.
- Rosch, E. (2007). What Buddhist meditation has to tell psychology about the mind. *AntiMatters*, 1(1), 11–21.
- Rosch, E. (2008). Beginner’s mind: Paths to the wisdom that is not learned. In M. Ferrari & G. Potworowski, G. (Eds.) *Teaching for wisdom* (pp. 135 – 162). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Santorelli, S. F. (2011). ‘Enjoy your death’: leadership lessons forged in the crucible of organizational death and rebirth infused with mindfulness and mastery. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 199-217.
- Sauer, S., & Kohls, N. (2011). Mindfulness in leadership: Does being mindful enhance leader’s business success? In S. Han & E. Pöppel (Eds.), *Culture and neural frames of cognition and communication on thinking 3* (pp. 287–306). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Schuyler, K. G. (2007). Being a *Bodhisattva* at work: Perspectives on the influence of Buddhist practices in entrepreneurial organizations. *Journal of Human Values*, 13(1), 43 – 60.
- Schuyler, K. G. (2010). Increasing leadership integrity through mind training and embodied learning. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 62(1), 21–38.
- Seager, R. H. (2012). *Buddhism in America* (rev.) New York: Columbia University Press.
- Segal, Z. V., Williams, M. G., & Teasdale, J. D. (2002). *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: A new approach to preventing relapse*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Segall, R. S. (2003). (Ed.). *Encountering Buddhism: Western psychology and Buddhist teachings*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Sethi, D. (2009). Mindful leadership. *Leader to Leader*, Winter, 7-11.
- Shapiro, S. L., Astin, J. A., Bishop, S. R., & Cordova, M. (2005). Mindfulness-based stress reduction for health care professionals: Results from a randomized trial. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 12, 164-176.
- Shapiro, S. L., & Carlson, L. E. (2009). *The art and science of mindfulness: Integrating mindfulness into psychology and the helping professions*. Washington, DC: The American Psychological Association.
- Sharf, R. H. (2014). Is mindfulness Buddhist? (and why it matters). *Transcultural Psychiatry*. doi: 10.1177/1363461514557561
- Shonin, E., & Van Gordon, W. (2014a). Managers’ experiences of meditation awareness training. *Mindfulness*. doi:10.1007/s12671-014-0334-y.
- Shonin, E., & Van Gordon, W. (2014b). Searching for the present moment. *Mindfulness*, 5, 105–107.

- Shonin, E., Van Gordon, W., & Griffiths, M. D. (2013). Mindfulness-based interventions: Towards mindful clinical integration. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*, 1–4.
- Shonin, E., Van Gordon, W., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014a). The emerging role of Buddhism in clinical psychology: Towards effective integration. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, *6*, 123–137.
- Shonin, E., Van Gordon, W., Dunn, T., Singh, N., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014b). Meditation awareness training for work-related wellbeing and job performance: A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*.doi:10.1007/s11469-014-9513-2.
- Sibinga, E. M. S., & Wu, A. W. (2010). Clinician mindfulness and patient safety. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *304*(22), 2532–2533.
- Siegel, D.J. (2012). *Pocket guide to interpersonal neurobiology: An integrative handbook of the mind*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Silsbee, D. K. (2008). *Presence-based coaching: Cultivating self-generative leaders through mind, body, and heart*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Silsbee, D. (2010). *The mindful coach: Seven roles for facilitating leadership development*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Slagter, H.A., Davidson, R. J., & Lutz, A. (2011). Mental training as a tool in the neuroscientific study of brain and cognitive plasticity. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *5*, 1–12.
- Smallwood, J., Mrazek, M. D., & Schooler, J. W. (2011). Medicine for the wandering mind: Mind wandering in medical practice. *Medical Education*, *45*, 1072–1080.
- Smith, H., & Novak, P. (2003). *Buddhism: A concise introduction*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Soma Thera. (1998). *The way of mindfulness: The Satipaṭṭhāna Sutra and its commentary*. Sri Lanka, Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society.
- Spears, N. (2007). *Buddha, 9 to 5: The eightfold path to enlightening your workplace and improving your bottom line*. Avon, MA: Adams Media.
- Stanley, E. A., & Schaldach, J. M. (2011). Mindfulness-based Mind Fitness Training (MMFT) © *Mind Fitness Training Institute*. Retrieved from www.mind-fitness-training.org/MMFTOverviewNarrative.pdf
- Stanley, S. (2013). ‘Things said or done long ago are remembered’: The ethics of mindfulness in early Buddhism, psychotherapy and clinical psychology. *European Journal of Psychotherapy and Counselling*.doi: 10.1080/13642537.2013.793338
- Storhoff, G., & Whalen-Bridge, J. (Eds.).(2010). *American Buddhism as a way of life*. Albany: State University of New York.
- Tamura, Y. (2000). *Japanese Buddhism: A cultural history*. Tokyo: Kosei Publishing Co.
- Thayes, J. (2001). *Way of Tibetan Buddhism*. Hammersmith, London: Thorsons.

- Tiberius, V., & Plakais, A. (2010). Well-being. In J. Doris & the Moral Psychology Research Group (Eds.), *The moral psychology handbook* (pp. 401–431). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tilakaratne, A. (2012). *Theravada Buddhism: The view of the Elders*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Tin Mon, M. (2002). *Buddha Abhidhamma: Ultimate science*. Buddha Dharma Education Association Inc.
- Treadway, M. T., & Lazar, S. W. (2010). Meditation and neuroplasticity: Using mindfulness to change the brain. In R. A. Baer, (Ed.), *Assessing mindfulness & acceptance processes in clients: Illuminating the theory & practice of change* (pp. 185-205). Oakland, CA: New Harbinger.
- Ucok, O. (2006). Transparency, communication and mindfulness. *Journal of Management Development*, 25(10), 1024-1028.
- Vallabh, P., & Singhal, M. (2014). Buddhism and decision making at individual, group and organizational levels. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 763–775.
- van den Muyzenberg, L. (2011). Leadership the Buddhist way. In L. Zsolnai (Ed.), *Ethical principles and economic transformation* (pp. 167–179). Springer Science+Business Media.
- van den Muyzenberg, L. (2014). The contribution of Buddhist wisdom to management development. *Journal of Management Development*, 33(8/9), 741–750.
- Van Gordon, W., Shonin, E., Sumich, A., Sundin, E., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014). Meditation awareness training (MAT) for psychological wellbeing in a sub-clinical sample of university students: A controlled pilot study. *Mindfulness*, 5, 381–391.
- VanStelle, S., Koerber, J., & Fox, E. (2010). Expansion of OMB: How RFT and ACT can influence our field. *OBM Network News*, 24(3), 11-14.
- Varra, A. A., Hayes, S. C., Roget, N., & Fisher, G. (2008). A randomized control trial examining the effect of acceptance and commitment training on clinician willingness to use evidence-based pharmacotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76(3), 449-458.
- Walach, H., Nord, E., Zier, C., Dietz-Waschkowski, B., Kersig, S., & Schüpbach, H. (2007). Mindfulness-based stress reduction as a method for personnel development: A pilot evaluation. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 14, 188-198.
- Wallace, B. A. (2002). The spectrum of Buddhist practice in the West. In C. S. Prebish & M. Baumann, M. (Eds.), *Westward dharma: Buddhism beyond Asia* (pp. 34-50). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Wallace, B.A. (2003). *Buddhism and science: Breaking new ground*. Columbia University Press.
- Wallace, B. A. (2005). *Genuine happiness: Meditation as the path to fulfillment*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

- Wallace, B. A. (2006). *The attention revolution: Unlocking the power of the focused mind*. Somerville, MA: Wisdom Publications.
- Wallace, B. A. (2008). *A mindful balance*. *Tricycle*, 17(7), 60–63, 109–111.
- Wallace, B. A. (2011). *Minding closely: The four applications of mindfulness*. Ithaca, New York: Snow Lion Publications.
- Wallace, B. A., & Shapiro, S. L. (2006). Mental balance and well-being: Building bridges between Buddhism and western psychology. *American Psychologist*, 61(7), 690–701.
- Walsh, R., & Shapiro, S. L. (2006). The meeting of meditative disciplines and western psychology: A mutually enriching dialogue. *American Psychologist*, 61(3), 227-239.
- Weick, K.E., & Putnam, T. (2006). Organizing for mindfulness Eastern wisdom and Western knowledge. *Journal of management inquiry*, 15(3), 275–287.
- Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2001). *Managing the unexpected: Assuring high performance in an age of complexity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2006). Mindfulness and the quality of organizational attention. *Organization Science*, 17(4), 514–524.
- White, J. (1999). Ethical comportment in organizations: A synthesis of the feminist ethic of care and the Buddhist ethic of compassion. *International Journal of Value—Based Management*, 12(2), 109–128.
- Whitmyer, C. (Ed.). (1994). *Mindfulness and meaningful work: Explorations in right livelihood*. Berkeley, California: Parallax Press.
- Williams, K. D. (2007). Ostracism. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 425–452.
- Williams, J. M. G., & Kabat-Zinn, J. (2011). Mindfulness: Diverse perspectives on its meaning, origins, and multiple applications at the intersection of science and dharma. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12, 1-18.
- Wilson, J. (2014). *Mindful America: The mutual transformation of Buddhist meditation and American culture*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Yeganeh, B., & Kolb, D. (2009). Mindfulness and experiential learning. *OD Practitioner*, 41(3), 13-18.
- Zsolnai, L. (2007). Western economics versus Buddhist economics. *Society and Economy*, 29(2), 145–153.

Ancient Buddhist scripture and doctrine developed in several closely related literary languages of ancient India, especially in Pali and Sanskrit. In this article Pali and Sanskrit words that have gained currency in English are treated as English words and are rendered in the form in which they appear in English-language dictionaries.Â Buddhism, like many of the sects that developed in northeastern India at the time, was constituted by the presence of a charismatic teacher, by the teachings this leader promulgated, and by a community of adherents that was often made up of renunciant members and lay supporters.Â As Buddhism spread, it encountered new currents of thought and religion. Buddhism incorporates a variety of rituals and practices, which are intended to aid in the journey to enlightenment and bring blessings on oneself and others. While some activities are unique to certain expressions of Buddhism, there are others that are found in most of the popular forms of the belief system. For example, the practice of meditation is central to nearly all forms of Buddhism, and it derives directly from the Buddha's experiences and teachings. Meditation is the central focus of Zen Buddhism and the only way to liberation in Theravada Buddhism. Chapter 4: Analysis of the relationships between NVC and Buddhist view to non-violent communication in Theravada Buddhism, including an analysis of the basic principles, and a description of the similarities and differences between the two approaches. 5 Chapter 5: Conclusion and suggestion, which presents a summary and an overview of the study.Â Chapter II The Concept of Nonviolent Communication as per Marshall Rosenberg's Model 10 2.1 Overview of the Concept of NVC Nonviolent Communication, also called "compassionate communication" or "collaborative communication", is a communication process which often functions as a conflict prevention and resolution approach or process.